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ABSTRACT

Fuel cell thermal control is very much vital for its smooth and effective performance. The current investigation relates to a fuel cell which is encapsulated in a horizontal duct open at both the ends. The nanofluid as coolant is allowed to pass through the annular region between the fuel cell and duct. Three different water based nanofluids, namely Water-Al₂O₃, Water-SiC and Water-CuO, are considered as coolants in the present investigations. The numerical studies are carried out to obtain the heat transfer behavior of encapsulated fuel cell for maintaining its temperature within the safe limit. For that, a 2D numerical model is being developed. The continuity, momentum and energy equations are solved to predict the thermal behavior. The simulations are performed to predict the temperature fields and temperature contours. The trends of results are along the expected lines. Simulation results predicted with three different water based nanofluids are analyzed and compared for realizing the relative importance of the stated nanofluids. The model parameters considered are fuel cell heat flux of 10 W/cm² and nanofluid velocity of 9 m/s at duct inlet. The Water-SiC is found as the nanofluid rendering very much ideal fuel cell application without any kind of thermal letdown.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The devices that produce electricity through electrochemical reactions are termed as fuel cells. The fuel cells transform chemical energy stored in the fuel to electrical energy. Mostly hydrogen is used as fuel and the ambient air acts like an oxidant. However, in few cases methanol is also used as fuel and pure oxygen as oxidant. Fuel cells require a fuel source and will perform for infinite period of time if inflows of fuel are maintained. As the power generation takes place without burning of fossil fuels (or any other energy sources), fuel cells produce very less pollution since the byproduct involved in fuel cell is only heat and water. For the said reason it comes under green energy technology. The maintenance of fuel cell is simple since there are very few moving parts in the system as compared to any other energy sources. Fuel cells do not require traditional fuels like oil or gas and can thus decrease financial reliance on oil supplying nations.

In the event of batteries, they need solid reactants like lead, cadmium or other metal. Once these reactants are depleted, they must be discarded or recharged. Batteries can be regenerated either with electricity or by replacing the electrodes. Fuel cells maintain their popularity as efficient power generators. Considering their high energy conversion efficiency, zero emission potential, low noise and potential use of renewable fuels, the fuel cells are considered as future devices for mobiles, stationary and portable power applications. Researchers across the globe are still working for its implementation to some of the space programs and produce power for probes, satellites and space capsules. Fuel cells also have been used in various other applications due to its tremendous success. Fuel cells are superbly used to power fuel cell vehicles and are also utilized for primary and backup power for housing, manufacturing and business shops.

From the abovementioned text, to the best of author’s knowledge, it is well understood that there is not a single detail computational study relating to the effects of water based nanofluids (namely Water-Al$_2$O$_3$, Water-SiC and Water-CuO) on heat transfer behavior of fuel cells. With this perspective, the present paper demonstrates numerical investigations with the stated nanofluids on thermal characteristics of fuel cells. And also, the numerical model includes additional key factors like inertia, viscosity and gravity effects apart from the usual issues concerning the present physical problem. However, the stated model ignores both compressibility and viscous heat dissipation effects. The model is very well demonstrated for the detailed numerical investigations on the influences of the already stated nanofluids (as they significantly affect the cooling characteristics) by taking fuel cell heat flux and duct inlet nanofluid velocity as the important model parameters. Finally, the predictions of the model relating to various nanofluids are along the lines of expectations as well.

II. DESCRIPTION OF PHYSICAL PROBLEM

The graphical illustration of a representative fuel cell to be inserted in a duct is shown in the figure 1. The corresponding physical model as illustrated in figure 2, describes about the overall heat transfer from the fuel cell which is encapsulated in a horizontal duct open at both the ends. The coolants considered in the present investigations are three different water based nanofluids named as Water-Al$_2$O$_3$, Water-SiC and Water-CuO. A 2D model is considered to save computation/simulation time by ignoring end effects in the transverse direction. The model includes the viscosity along with the gravity effect as well. The fluid flow is considered to be laminar and incompressible. The no slip boundary condition is specified at the walls. The velocity inlet boundary condition is set at the entry to the duct from where water based nanofluids are allowed to pass through. A pressure outlet boundary condition is specified at the exit of the duct. The ambient condition is taken at the entry to the duct. For cooling of the fuel cell surface, a convective boundary condition in the form of heat flux is introduced to simulate the overall temperature variation inside the duct due to heat transfer. The thermo-physical properties of different nanoparticles alongside the other system parameters, are presented in table 1.

Figure 1. Schematic of fuel cell to be kept in a rectangular duct
Figure 2. 2D computational domain of physical model with flow of coolant inside the duct

Table 1. Thermophysical properties of nanoparticles and model data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoparticle</th>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>SiC</th>
<th>CuO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density, ρ(Kg/m³)</td>
<td>3970</td>
<td>3160</td>
<td>6315</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat, C_p (J/kg-K)</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>532</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity, k (W/m-K)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Data</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height of duct (H)</td>
<td>26 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of fuel cell (L_c)</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of fuel cell (t_c)</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of fuel cell (W_c)</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of duct (W)</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient air temperature</td>
<td>300 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel cell heat flux</td>
<td>10 W/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity of coolant at duct inlet</td>
<td>9 m/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

The current physical problem is represented by a set of governing transport equations which are solved through the current computational methods concerning both modeling and simulation. The related continuity, momentum and energy equations in 2D for a fully developed hydrodynamic and thermal flow situations are described in equations from (1) to (4), respectively. The compressibility and the viscous heat dissipation effects are ignored in the present physical condition.

**Continuity equation:**
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \]

**X-momentum equation:**
\[ \rho \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) \]

**Y-momentum equation:**
\[ \rho \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) = - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \mu \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) + \rho g \]

**Energy equation:**
\[ \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) = \alpha \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right) \]

IV. NUMERICAL PROCEDURES

A. Numerical scheme and solution algorithm

The abovementioned governing transport equations are converted into generalized form as follows.

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \phi) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \phi) = \nabla \cdot (\Gamma \nabla \phi) + S \]

The converted governing transport equations are discretized with the second order upwind scheme using a pressure based finite volume method with the SIMPLE algorithm, where \( \Gamma \) represents a transport property (k or \( \mu \)), \( \phi \) denotes any conserved variable and \( S \) is a source term.

At first, both the continuity and momentum equations are solved simultaneously to get the pressure and velocity fields. Then, the energy equation is solved using the stated velocity field to get the corresponding temperature field. In other words, all the said equations are solved together (but not independently) owing to dependency between the related parameters.

B. Choice of grid size, time step and convergence criteria

A detailed and complete grid-independence test is done to develop an appropriate spatial discretization, and the levels of iteration convergence criteria to be utilized. As an outcome of this test, we have used 50 × 20 uniform grids for the final simulation. Corresponding time step taken in the simulation is 0.0001 seconds. Though we checked with smaller grids of 30 and 40 in numbers for 20 mm height of the computational domain, it is observed that a finer grid system does not alter the results significantly. In other words, the statistical data reveals that the finer grids have minor effect in the simulation results which is quite obvious from the definition of grid-independence test. In addition, the smaller grid relates to more computational time concerning more evenness in results of various contours/fields.
Convergence in inner iterations is happened while the condition \( \left| \varphi - \varphi_{\text{old}} \right| / \varphi_{\text{max}} \leq 10^{-4} \) is satisfied concurrently for all variables, where \( \varphi \) stands for each variable \( u, v, \) and \( T \) at a grid point at the current iteration level, \( \varphi_{\text{old}} \) represents the corresponding value at the previous iteration level, and \( \varphi_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum value of the variable at the present iteration level in the whole domain.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Numerical simulations are carried out to study the effects of three different water based nanofluids (such as Water-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \), Water-SiC and Water-CuO) on cooling characteristics of fuel cell in terms of temperature distributions (i.e. temperature contours/fields) and surface temperatures of fuel cells. At the outset, the height of the duct is considered to be 26 mm, besides, the thickness and the length of the fuel cell as 6 mm and 50 mm respectively. Furthermore, the heat flux related to the fuel cell is taken as 10 W/cm\(^2\) and the velocity of nanofluid at the duct inlet is selected as 9 m/s.

A. Case study with Water-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) nanofluid as coolant

With the said model conditions, so as to study the influence of Water-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) nanofluid on the thermal behavior of the fuel cell, the numerical simulations are performed, by taking into account the thermophysical properties of the said nanofluid.

Figure 3 demonstrates the simulated results of the temperature field (together with the colored scale bar displaying the temperature values in terms of K) as obtained at the stated model conditions by considering Water-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) nanofluid as coolant. The surface temperature of fuel cell is found to be 350 K (which is very close to the safe limit of 356 K temperature as desired in order to avoid the thermal failure of the fuel cell). As expected, the temperature of the Water-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) nanofluid is maximum near the vicinity of fuel cell. And also, the temperature of the Water-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) nanofluid gradually decreases with the increase in the distance from the fuel cell and then it becomes equal to the atmospheric temperature in the far field region. The corresponding temperature contour is also demonstrated in figure 4. In addition, the trends of results are along the lines of expectations.

![Figure 3. Temperature field with Water-\( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) nanofluid as coolant.

B. Case study with Water-SiC nanofluid as coolant

With the stated model conditions, in order to investigate the influence of Water-SiC nanofluid on the thermal behavior of the fuel cell, the numerical simulations are performed, by taking into account the thermophysical properties of the stated nanofluid.

Figure 5 illustrates the simulated results of the temperature field (together with the colored scale bar displaying the temperature values in terms of K) as obtained at the stated model conditions by considering Water-SiC nanofluid as coolant. The surface temperature of fuel cell is found to be 322 K (which is also within the safe limit of 356 K temperature as desired in order to avoid the thermal failure of the fuel cell).
cell). As expected, the temperature of the Water-SiC nanofluid is maximum near the vicinity of fuel cell. And also, the temperature of the Water-SiC nanofluid gradually decreases with the increase in the distance from the fuel cell and then it becomes equal to the atmospheric temperature in the far field region. The corresponding temperature contour is also demonstrated in figure 6. Here also, the trends of results are along the expected lines.

Figure 5. Temperature field with Water-SiC nanofluid as coolant.

Figure 6. Temperature contour with Water-SiC nanofluid as coolant.

C. Case study with Water-CuO nanofluid as coolant

With the said model conditions, so as to study the influence of Water-CuO nanofluid on the thermal behavior of the fuel cell, the numerical simulations are performed, by taking into account the thermophysical properties of the stated nanofluid. Figure 7 elucidates the simulated results of the temperature field (together with the colored scale bar displaying the temperature values in terms of K) as obtained at the stated model conditions by considering Water-CuO nanofluid as coolant. The surface temperature of fuel cell is found to be 340 K (which is also within the safe limit of 356 K temperature as desired in order to avoid the thermal failure of the fuel cell). As expected, the temperature of the Water-CuO nanofluid is maximum near the vicinity of fuel cell. And also, the temperature of the Water-CuO nanofluid gradually decreases with the increase in the distance from the fuel cell and then it becomes equal to the atmospheric temperature in the far field region. The corresponding temperature contour is also demonstrated in figure 8. The trends of results are along the lines of expectations as well.

Figure 7. Temperature field with Water-CuO nanofluid as coolant.

Figure 8. Temperature contour with Water-CuO nanofluid as coolant.

D. Comparison of temperatures of fuel cells obtained with different nanofluids as coolants

Table 2 illustrates the computationally predicted temperatures of the fuel cells as obtained with the use of three different water based nanofluids (namely, Water-Al₂O₃, Water-SiC and Water-CuO) as coolants. It is observed that the numerical predictions/results are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanofluid</th>
<th>Temperature at Fuel Cell</th>
<th>Temperature at Atmosphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>340 K</td>
<td>300 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-SiC</td>
<td>340 K</td>
<td>300 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-CuO</td>
<td>340 K</td>
<td>300 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
comparable with each other. As expected, the variations in the numerically predicted temperatures of the fuel cells are witnessed very clearly with the use of the stated water based nanofluids as coolants. This is owing to the variations in the thermal conductivities of the corresponding nanoparticles as described in table 1.

Table 2. Comparison of numerical predictions of fuel cells temperatures with different nanofluids as coolants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Nanofluid</th>
<th>Numerically Predicted Temperature of Fuel Cell (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water-Al₂O₃</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-SiC</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-CuO</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Similarly, figure 9 also elucidates the plot showing the variations in the fuel cells temperatures with three different water based stated nanofluids as coolants. It is well understood that the trends of the variations in the computationally predicted results are along the lines of expectations.

![Figure 9](image_url). Variations in fuel cells temperatures with different water based nanofluids as coolants

### VI. CONCLUSION

A computational model concerning about to the fuel cell is developed to predict the thermal behavior with three different water based nanofluids, namely Water-Al₂O₃, Water-SiC and Water-CuO as coolants. The model includes additional key factors like inertia, viscosity and gravity effects apart from the usual issues concerning the present physical problem. However, the stated model ignores both compressibility and viscous heat dissipation effects. The model is very well demonstrated for the detailed numerical investigations on the influences of the already stated nanofluids (as they significantly affect the cooling characteristics) by taking fuel cell heat flux of 10 W/cm² and duct inlet nanofluid velocity of 9 m/s as the important model parameters. The predictions of the model pertaining to the different nanofluids are along the expected lines. Direct comparison with other numerical models of fuel cells is not possible because of the absence of such models in the literature. However, the experimental comparison with an in-house experimental setup is planned for the future. With the said model conditions, it is observed that the Water-SiC nanofluid renders appropriately effective cooling behavior without any such thermal failure and is the optimum one as the fuel cell temperature is very far below the safe limit. Therefore, the said model alongside the nanofluid can be used straightway in production houses to increase heat transfer and for fuel cell thermal management.
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