

International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology

ISSN : 2456-3307

Available Online at : www.ijsrcseit.com doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/CSEIT25111213



AI-Enhanced Traffic Prediction and Congestion Control: A Framework for CNF and VNF Networks

Kavita Swapnil Kulkarni

Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University, India

AI-Enhanced Traffic Prediction and Congestion Control: A Framework for CNF and VNF Networks



ARTICLEINFO

Article History:

Accepted : 01 Jan 2025 Published: 03 Jan 2025

Publication Issue

Volume 11, Issue 1 January-February-2025

Page Number

117-124

ABSTRACT

This article comprehensively analyzes artificial intelligence-driven approaches to traffic prediction and congestion control in Cloud-Native Functions (CNFs) and Virtual Network Functions (VNFs) based networks. This article examines recent advancements in predictive analytics and dynamic resource allocation, focusing on implementing deep learning frameworks such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks for traffic pattern forecasting. This article demonstrates how continuous learning models and real-time telemetry data integration enable adaptive network responses to fluctuating traffic conditions. This article indicates that AI-enhanced load balancing and traffic shaping techniques significantly improve network performance, achieving a more efficient distribution of resources across network nodes while maintaining consistent Quality of Service (QoS). This article highlights the transformative potential of these innovations in meeting the demanding requirements of 5G networks and beyond, offering insights into cost-effective resource management strategies and scalable network solutions. Experimental results show substantial improvements in latency reduction and resource utilization efficiency, presenting a promising direction

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s) : This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



for next-generation telecom service optimization.

Keywords: Traffic Prediction, Network Congestion Control, Cloud-Native Functions (CNF), Artificial Intelligence, Virtual Network Functions (VNF)

Introduction

The telecommunications landscape has witnessed a paradigm shift with the integration of artificial intelligence in network management, particularly in Cloud-Native Functions (CNF) and Virtual Network Functions (VNF) environments. Studies analyzing real network traffic data over 6-month periods have shown that network flow patterns exhibit distinct periodic behaviors with daily and weekly cycles. Analysis of over 400 GB of network flow data has revealed that these patterns can be accurately predicted using appropriate time series models with an accuracy of up to 95% [1]. This predictability presents a unique opportunity for implementing proactive traffic management strategies in modern networks.

The evolution of traffic management in CNF and VNF environments represents a critical advancement in optimization. Traditional network network management systems, which typically rely on statistical approaches, are increasingly inadequate for modern network demands. Recent implementations of AI-driven systems using deep learning approaches have demonstrated significant improvements in network performance, with experimental results showing a reduction in end-to-end latency by 23.45% and an increase in throughput by 18.72% compared to conventional methods [2]. This enhancement is particularly crucial in the context of 5G and cloudnative infrastructure, where performance requirements continue to become more demanding.

The significance of AI in modern telecom networks extends beyond mere response time improvements. Implementation studies have shown that machine learning models can achieve prediction accuracies of 91.3% for short-term traffic patterns and 87.6% for long-term trends [2]. These advancements are particularly relevant in cloud-native environments, where dynamic resource allocation and real-time scaling are fundamental requirements. The integration of AI-driven predictive analytics has enabled networks to achieve a 34.8% improvement in resource utilization efficiency while maintaining robust performance metrics.

AI-Based Traffic Forecasting Systems

2.1. Deep Learning Architectures for Traffic Prediction

Implementing deep learning approaches, particularly LSTM networks, has revolutionized traffic prediction in telecommunications networks. Studies have shown that LSTM-based models achieve a mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) of 3.8% in traffic prediction, compared to 7.2% for traditional time-series methods [3]. These networks are particularly effective in capturing long-term dependencies in network traffic patterns, with experimental results demonstrating successful prediction windows of up to 1000 milliseconds while maintaining accuracy above 89%. The architectural complexity of these systems typically involves 4-6 LSTM layers with 128-256 nodes per layer, optimized through extensive testing across various network conditions.

2.2. Real-Time Data Integration Systems

Integration of real-time data streams has proven crucial for maintaining prediction accuracy in dynamic network environments. Recent implementations utilizing hybrid CNN-LSTM architectures have demonstrated the capability to simultaneously process and analyze network telemetry data from up to 10,000 network nodes, with an average processing latency of just 5 milliseconds [4]. These systems incorporate historical and real-time data, with weighting mechanisms that assign 70% importance to recent data patterns and 30% to historical trends, resulting in a 15% improvement in prediction accuracy compared to static models.

2.3. Implementation Challenges and Solutions

The deployment of AI-based traffic forecasting systems presents several technical challenges, primarily in data processing and model optimization. Current implementations require significant computational resources, with typical GPU clusters capable of processing 500,000 data points per second [4]. Memory requirements for these systems average 32GB RAM for real-time processing, with model training phases requiring up to 128 GB. Success rates in overcoming these challenges have shown marked improvements, with recent deployments achieving 99.7% system reliability and 94.2% prediction accuracy during peak traffic periods.

2.4. Performance Metrics and Validation

Validation of these systems has demonstrated significant improvements in network management capabilities. Studies across diverse network environments show that AI-based forecasting systems can predict traffic surges with 92.3% accuracy up to 15 minutes in advance [3]. This predictive capability has enabled proactive resource allocation, resulting in a 45% reduction in congestion events and a 28% improvement in overall network throughput. The systems maintain these performance levels across varying network conditions, with no more than 5% degradation under extreme traffic scenarios.

Prediction Method	MeanAbsolutePercentageError(%)	Prediction Accuracy (%)
LSTM	3.8	89.0
Networks		
Traditional Time-Series	7.2	82.5
Hybrid CNN- LSTM	5.1	86.3
Static Models	8.9	79.4

Table 1: Performance Comparison of Different TrafficPrediction Methodologies in Network ManagementSystems [3, 4]

Dynamic Resource Allocation Framework 3.1. Automated Resource Distribution Mechanisms

Implementing automated resource distribution in modern network environments significantly advances network management capabilities. Research has shown that dynamic resource management systems can reduce SLA violations by up to 80% while maintaining optimal resource utilization. These systems demonstrate the ability to process and adjust virtual machine (VM) configurations within an average of 300 seconds, with experimental results showing successful adaptation to workload changes in 95% of test cases [5].

3.2. Real-time Scaling Algorithms and Integration

Modern scaling algorithms have demonstrated remarkable efficiency in managing network resources dynamically. Recent implementations using machine learning-based approaches have shown a 32.6% improvement in network throughput compared to traditional methods. These systems have demonstrated the capability to handle traffic variations while maintaining a packet delivery ratio of 97.4% and reducing end-to-end delay by 28.9% [6].

3.3. Processing Power Management Systems

The management of processing power across network nodes has evolved significantly through AI integration. Studies have shown that automated



resource management systems can maintain CPU utilization at optimal levels (between 60-80%) while reducing the number of active physical machines by up to 33% during low-demand periods [5]. The implementation has demonstrated effective management of heterogeneous workloads across multiple virtual machines, with response times averaging below 5 minutes for resource reallocation decisions.

3.4. Bandwidth Optimization Strategies

Advanced bandwidth optimization through AI-driven systems has shown significant improvements in network efficiency. Current implementations have achieved a consistent quality of service with packet loss rates below 2.1% and an average jitter of 1.8 ms in high-load scenarios [6]. These systems have demonstrated the ability to maintain network stability even under varying traffic conditions, with throughput improvements of up to 31.5% compared to static allocation methods.

3.5. Infrastructure Integration Framework

Integrating dynamic resource allocation systems with existing network infrastructure presents unique challenges and opportunities. Experimental results show that automated management systems can achieve resource utilization rates of up to 85% while maintaining performance SLAs, with the ability to reduce energy consumption by approximately 25% through efficient VM consolidation [5]. These systems have proven particularly effective in cloud environments, demonstrating consistent performance across varying workload patterns.





Adaptive Learning Systems

4.1. Real-time Telemetry Integration Architecture

Integrating real-time telemetry data has revolutionized network management through continuous monitoring and adaptation. Studies have demonstrated that modern edge-based telemetry systems can achieve anomaly detection with an accuracy of 96.7% while maintaining a false alarm rate below 3.2%. These systems operate with an average processing delay of 127 ms for complex network scenarios, significantly improving over traditional cloud-based approaches [7].

4.2. Continuous Model Refinement Processes

Implementing continuous learning models has shown remarkable improvements in network adaptation capabilities. Research indicates that adaptive sensor networks using deep learning approaches can achieve detection rates of up to 98.5% for normal traffic patterns and 94.3% for anomalous patterns. These systems can process and analyze network behavior with an F1-score of 0.967, significantly outperforming conventional detection methods [8].

4.3. Self-Learning Environmental Controls

Modern self-learning networks demonstrate sophisticated environmental adaptation capabilities. Edge computing implementations have shown the ability to reduce network latency by up to 45% compared to cloud-based solutions while maintaining a prediction accuracy of 95.8% for anomaly detection even under varying network loads [7]. The learning mechanisms incorporate real-time adaptation capabilities that can respond to network changes within an average of 200 ms.

4.4. Pattern Recognition Methodologies

Advanced pattern recognition systems in modern networks employ sophisticated algorithms to identify and respond to complex traffic patterns. Experimental results show that deep learning-based systems can achieve a precision rate of 96.7% and a recall rate of 97.2% in identifying network anomalies. The implementation demonstrates robust performance



across different types of network traffic, with an average accuracy of 95.4% across all test scenarios [8].

4.5. Adaptation Verification Systems

The verification of adaptation mechanisms represents a crucial component in ensuring reliable network performance. Current edge-based implementations show an overall accuracy of 96.7% in anomaly detection while maintaining a processing overhead of less than 15% on edge devices [7]. These systems have demonstrated particular effectiveness in 5G network environments, where they can maintain consistent performance even under high-traffic conditions with varying patterns.



Fig. 2: Deep Learning-Based Detection Performance for Various Network Anomalies [7, 8]

Advanced Congestion Control Methods 5.1. AI-Enhanced Load Balancing Architectures

The implementation of AI-enhanced load balancing has transformed network traffic management capabilities. Research demonstrates that machine learning-based load balancers in SDN clusters can achieve a throughput improvement of up to 28.6% compared to traditional methods. These systems have shown an average response time reduction of 34.2% while maintaining a prediction accuracy of 92.7% for traffic patterns across distributed networks [9].

5.2. Traffic Shaping Implementation Framework

Modern traffic shaping systems incorporate sophisticated AI algorithms for optimal packet management. Studies indicate that these implementations can achieve a packet delivery ratio of 98.2% while reducing end-to-end delay by 23.5%. The systems demonstrate an average throughput improvement of 27.8% compared to conventional methods, with particular effectiveness in handling multimedia traffic [10].

5.3. Application Prioritization Mechanisms

The development of intelligent application prioritization systems has significantly enhanced network performance management. Current SDNbased implementations show a 25.4% improvement in resource utilization efficiency while maintaining a load balancing accuracy of 94.3% across cluster nodes. These systems demonstrate the ability to process traffic classification decisions within an average of 150 ms [9].

5.4. Bottleneck Prevention Technologies

Advanced bottleneck prevention systems employ predictive analytics to maintain optimal network flow. Testing results show that AI-driven systems can achieve a 31.2% reduction in network congestion while maintaining a quality of service (QoS) satisfaction rate of 96.4%. The implementation shows particular effectiveness in multimedia traffic management, with a jitter reduction of up to 18.9% compared to traditional approaches [10].

5.5. Quality of Service Management

Modern QoS management systems integrate AI capabilities for enhanced service delivery. The implementation in SDN clusters demonstrates a 92.7% accuracy in traffic pattern prediction and a 28.6% improvement in overall network throughput. These systems maintain consistent performance across varying traffic conditions, with load distribution efficiency above 90% even during peak usage [9].



Traffic Type	Packet Deliver y Ratio (%)	End-to- End Delay Reductio n (%)	Jitter Reductio n (%)	QoS Satisfactio n (%)
Voice	98.2	23.5	18.9	96.4
Video	97.8	22.7	17.5	95.8
Gamin g	97.4	21.8	16.8	95.2
Web	96.5	20.4	15.4	94.7
Traffic	<i>9</i> 0. <i>J</i>	20.7	1.7.7	27.7
File Transfe r	95.8	19.2	14.2	93.5

Table 2: Performance Analysis of AI-Enhanced LoadBalancing in SDN Clusters [9, 10]

Performance Optimization and Resource Management

6.1. Network Reliability Enhancement Systems

The implementation of advanced reliability enhancement mechanisms has significantly improved network performance metrics. Studies show that AIdriven optimization systems can achieve a 22% improvement in network throughput while maintaining a consistent quality of service. These systems demonstrate the ability to reduce network congestion by up to 35% through intelligent traffic management and resource allocation strategies [11].

6.2. Latency Reduction Frameworks

Modern latency reduction systems incorporate sophisticated algorithms that have revolutionized network performance. Research indicates that nextgeneration resource management implementations can achieve power savings of up to 30% while maintaining network performance standards. These systems have demonstrated particular effectiveness in cellular networks, where they can reduce energy consumption by up to 25% during off-peak hours [12].

6.3. Cost Optimization Methodologies

The development of cost-effective resource management strategies has significantly improved operational efficiency. Current implementations demonstrate a 28% improvement in resource utilization efficiency while reducing operational costs by 32%. These AI-driven systems maintain an average prediction accuracy of 91.5% for network resource requirements [11].

6.4. Resource Utilization Analytics

Advanced resource utilization systems employ sophisticated monitoring and analytics capabilities. Studies show that intelligent resource management can achieve up to 40% improvement in resource utilization while reducing power consumption by 27% compared to traditional approaches. The systems demonstrate effective load-balancing capabilities across heterogeneous network environments [12].

6.5. Manual Intervention Reduction Systems

Implementing automated management systems has significantly reduced the need for manual intervention in network operations. Experimental results indicate that AI-optimized systems can improve network performance by up to 22% while reducing manual configuration requirements by 45%. The automation framework has effectively maintained consistent service quality across varying network conditions [11].

Conclusion

Integrating AI-based traffic prediction and congestion control mechanisms in CNF and VNF-based networks represents a significant advancement in modern telecommunications infrastructure management. This article has demonstrated comprehensive the transformative impact of artificial intelligence across multiple domains, from deep learning-based traffic forecasting to dynamic resource allocation and adaptive learning systems. Implementing these technologies has substantially improved network performance, resource utilization, and operational efficiency. Particularly noteworthy are the



advancements in real-time adaptation capabilities and management systems, automated which have revolutionized how networks respond to changing traffic patterns and resource demands. The integration of AI-driven solutions has enhanced traditional network management approaches and paved the way for more resilient, efficient, and autonomous network operations. As networks evolve and become more complex, especially in 5G and beyond, these AIpowered solutions will become increasingly crucial in maintaining optimal network performance and service quality. Future developments in this field promise even more sophisticated approaches to network management, suggesting a continued trajectory of innovation and improvement in telecommunications infrastructure.

References

- [1]. Mohamed Faten Zhani et al., "Analysis and Prediction of Real Network Traffic," ResearchGate, November 2009. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/4280 4292_Analysis_and_Prediction_of_Real_Netwo rk_Traffic
- [2]. Samuel Olaoluwa Folorunsho et al.,
 "Optimizing network performance and quality of service with AI-driven solutions for future telecommunications," IJFETR, 5 August 2024. Available: https://frontiersrj.com/journals/ijfetr/sites/defau

https://frontiersrj.com/journals/ijfetr/sites/defau lt/files/IJFETR-2024-0041.pdf

- [3]. Victoria Ibiyemi et al., "A review of Network Traffic Prediction using Deep Learning Models," IJRES, vol. 12, no. 10, October 2024. Available: https://ijres.org/papers/Volume-12/Issue-10/12101933.pdf
- [4]. Mohamed A. Mead and Hosam E. Refaat, "Hybrid CNN and LSTM Model (HCLM) for Short-Term Traffic Volume Prediction," International Journal of Intelligent Computing and Information Sciences, 2022. Available:

https://ijicis.journals.ekb.eg/article_273224_f8e d350f2c50a64468d639eb32006da8.pdf

- [5]. Rashid Mijumbi et al., "Dynamic Resource Management in SDN-based Virtualized Networks," International Conference on Network and Serice Management, 2014. Available: https://cnsmconf.org/2014/proceedings/pdf/70.pdf
- [6]. Harshavardhan Nerella et al., "AI-Driven Cloud Optimization: A Comprehensive Literature Review," International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology, vol. 72, no. 5, May 2024. Available: https://ijcttjournal.org/2024/Volume-72%20Issue-5/IJCTT-V72I5P121.pdf
- [7]. Riaz Shaik et al., "Real-Time Anomaly Detection in 5G Networks Through Edge Computing," ResearchGate, March 2024. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/3806 59678_Real-Time_Anomaly_Detection_in_5G_Networks_T hrough_Edge_Computing
 [9] Leile Lungil and Beilemeen Brane "Actificial
- [8]. Leila Ismail and Rajkumar Buyya, "Artificial Intelligence Applications and Self-Learning 6G Networks for Smart Cities Digital Ecosystems: Taxonomy, Challenges, and Future Directions," MDPI, vol. 22, no. 15, 2022. Available: https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/22/15/5750
- [9]. Drishya Shah et al., "FAST: AI-based Network Traffic Analysis and Load Balancing Framework Underlying SDN Clusters," ResearchGate, May 2024. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/3818
 17564_FAST_AIbased_Network_Traffic_Analysis_and_Load_Ba

lancing_Framework_Underlying_SDN_Clusters

[10]. K Sandhya Rani et al., "Traffic Congestion Control through Adaptive Signaling System using Machine Learning," International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, vol. 11, no. 3, March 2024.



Available: https://www.irjet.net/archives/V11/i3/IRJET-V11I3175.pdf

[11]. Uchenna Joseph Umoga et al., "Exploring the Potential of AI-Driven Optimization in Enhancing Network Performance and Efficiency," Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, vol. 10, no. 01, 4 January 2024. Available:

> https://magnascientiapub.com/journals/msarr/co ntent/exploring-potential-ai-driven-

> optimization-enhancing-network-performanceand-efficiency

[12]. Zana Limani, "Resource and power management in next generation networks," ResarchGate, February 2017. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/3231 20152_Resource_and_power_management_in_ next_generation_networks