International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology
© 2017 IJSRCSEIT | Volume 2 | Issue 6 | ISSN : 2456-3307

A Survey on Image Registration Methods for Satellite Images

Ch. Sowmya[*1], Dr. Ch. Mallikarjuna Rao*[2]
[1]M. Tech, CSE, Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, Telangana, India
[2]Professor & Head, CSE, Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

ABSTRACT

Image Registration (IR) involved a prevailing part in the digital Image preparing in general and Image analysis in particular. Image Registration is a procedure of changing distinctive arrangements of information into one facilitate framework, and information might be from various photos, diverse times, depths and viewpoints and perspectives, and along these lines adjusting to screen the unpretentious contrasts between at least two images. The improvement of IR procedures and registration is highly difficult because it is required to find spatial correspondences among images. Image registration procedures are required as well as basically important to look at information and images acquired from various estimations in light of their application necessities. Because of its high potential necessity for examine, there is a need to do an examination study on Image Registration strategies with a specific end goal to comprehend the marvel of Image Registration and its execution systems. This study accentuates Image Registration as the most fundamental piece of all panoramic image generation & creation, where applications and utilizations are impossible for scientists aching to concoct and execute elective image registration techniques from general to particular to complex applications.

Keywords : IA : Image Analysis, IR: Image Registration, Centroids, Euclidean Distance, Image Segmentation, and Scale Invariant Feature Transform.

I. INTRODUCTION

Image registration is a image processing system used to support different scenes of images into a solitary coordinated image, that empowers to beat the issues, for example, image rotation, scale, skew, etc.,, and so on., which are normal image properties that necessities in overlaying images keeping in mind the end goal to adjust and associate nearby images into a solitary all encompassing image. The examination in Image registration has long history and is shown in mid 1960's to adjust 3D images [18]. The examination prerequisite and the potential for Image registration systems are recognized in mid 1980's [16], [17], and started the exploration in light of the application necessity, and are ordered in view of the algorithm produced for applications. Image registration discovers its application, wherever there is a requirement for perception of articles inside anyone of volume, ex., plausibility to envision protests inside human body (Cerebrum, Heart, Liver, Kidney, Stomach area, Bones and Tissues, etc.,), and propels in software engineering have coordinated to solid and effective image preparing strategies helpful in PC vision (target localization & automatic quality control), medicinal imaging (joining information from various modalities like CT and X-ray), remote detecting for geographical overview and climate guaging (multispectral grouping), military (automatic target recognition, satellite data & images for compiling and analysis), criminology (identify & fix the crime), as an answer for advancement issues (feature & intensity based), and numerous.

Feature detection, feature matching, transform model estimation, and image re-sampling & transformation are four essential steps involved in Image Registration process [1]. Feature & intensity based, transformations using Fourier analysis, cross correlation approach using Fourier Transform analysis, sum of squares search technique, Eigen value decomposition, moment matching, techniques, warping techniques, procedural approach, anatomic atlas, internal landmarks, external landmarks, etc., are some of the approaches for image registration in order to find motion vectors and spatial

transformation among the images to establish spatial correspondence among them [2].

Image processing methods can imagine the items and systems created for different image registration techniques that empower to accomplish conceivable arrangements through the image registration process. It is important to say that the majority of the writing is outlined by keeping image registration in the area of MIA in the view. In this manner, image registration process is grouped in view of nine essential criteria which is advised in part 2, and ten image image registration that are clarified in section 3. The grouping of image registration strategies [3],[4], [5], [6], [7], [8] is outlined.

II. IMAGE REGISTRATION METHODS

According to paper [24], different image registration techniques are as follows:

1. Intensity-based and feature-based methods: Intensity-based methods compare intensity patterns in images via correlation metrics, while feature-based methods find communication amid image features like points, lines, and contours. Intensity-based methods register complete images or sub images.

2. Spatial and frequency domain techniques: Spatial strategies work in the image domain, coordinating force examples or highlights in images though Recurrence area techniques discover the change parameters for registration of the images while working in the transform domain. Such strategies work for basic changes, for example, translation, rotation, and scaling.

3. Single and multi-modality methods: Single-modality methods tend to register images in a similar methodology obtained by a similar scanner/sensor compose, while multi-modality enlistment techniques tended to register images procured by various scanner/sensor writes.

4. Automatic and interactive methods: Based on level of automation registration method afford they are classified as manual, interactive, semi-automatic, and automatic methods have been developed. Manual methods provide tools to align. Interactive methods reduce user bias by performing certain key operations automatically while still relying on the user to guide the registration. Semi-automatic methods perform more of the registration steps automatically but depend on the user to verify the correctness of a registration. Automatic methods will not permit any user interaction and complete all registration steps mechanically.

5. Similarity measures for image registration: Generally, image similarity techniques are being utilize in medical imaging. An image likeness measure quantifies the degree of similarity between intensity patterns in two images images.http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image_registration - cite_note-AG-2. The choice of an image similarity evaluate depends on the modality of the images might be registered.

III. RELATED WORK

Yongwei Sheng et al proposed a Image registration technique by using some techniques. In this technique LandSat is used for image type, he use Centroids as Keypoint, he use Euclidian Distance for matching the method, [25] he used Histogram Thresholding for segmentation technique, he included steps as Image Thresholding, Image Classification, Centroid Registration and Image Registration.

V. babyVennila et al gave a detailed explanation on Image Registration technique by using Satellite as image type, objects are the key words used, he used Object Matching for matching the methods, he used Feature Based segmentation for segmentation technique, he involves steps as Pre-processing, Regionconsidered segmentation, [25] Feature Extraction, Matching and rotation Estimation.

Wentao lv et al proposed a technique for Image registration as, he used SAR & RADARSAT for Image type, he used SIFT keypoints, method for matching as Euclidian distance he used Region Based Segmentation for segmentation technique, he involves steps as Segmentation of real image, Extraction of keypoints,

[25] Location constraints Establishment, SIFT matching and mapping.

Hernani Goncalves et al explained technique for image registration. He used LandSat as Image type, he used Sift Keypoints, [25] he used Euclidian Distance for Method for Matching, the segmentation technique he used is Otsu's thresholding, the stages involved are Conversion to single band, Image Segmentation, SIFT keypoint, Obtention of Matching Candidates, Outliers Removal and Final Set of Tiepoints.

IV. IMAGE REGISTRATION ALGORITHMS – CLASSIFICATION

Many types of algorithms are outlined and created in light of the application prerequisites of image registration methods which incorporates numerical models too. These are altogether outlined and introduced in this part.

Image registration algorithms are broadly divided into ten classifications. These classifications are briefly described in the following sub chapters.

A. Intensity-based and feature-based models

Image alignment algorithms of image registration process are grouped into power based and feature based. In this procedure, one of the images is alluded to as the reference or source, and the other individual images are alluded as the objective or detected or subject images. The image registration is gotten through spatially enlisting the objective images to line up with the reference images. In the power based strategies, it is looked at force designs in images through relationship measurements, and in highlight based techniques, finds the correspondence between image highlights, for example, focuses, lines, and forms. Force based techniques enlist whole images or sub-images. In the event that sub-images are enrolled, focuses of comparing sub images are dealt with as relating highlight focuses. Highlight based techniques build up a correspondence between various unmistakable focuses in images particularly. Knowing the correspondence between various focuses in images, a geometrical change is then resolved to outline target image to the reference images, which demonstrates point-by-point correspondence is set up between the reference and target images [9].

B. Transformation model

The algorithms intended to relate the objective image space versus reference image space are grouped into change models of image registration. Direct change models are the first and general class of this model where image properties, viz, revolution, scaling, interpretation, and relative changes, are particularly watched [10]. Because of the worldwide idea of these direct changes, it can't be demonstrated into nearby geometric contrasts amongst target and reference images. The algorithms produced for 'Versatile' or 'nonrigid changes are called as second classification of change models. These changes are able to do locally twisting the objective image to line up with the reference image. Non-unbending changes incorporate spiral premise capacities, ex., thin-plate or surface splines, multiquadric, and minimalistically bolstered changes, physical continuum models like thick liquids, and substantial twisting models like therapeutic models, layers and so forth.

C. Spatial frequency domain models

Spatial techniques work in the recurrence space for coordinating force highlights of images designs. The algorithms produced for include coordinating is a shallow to customary methods of image registration. At the point when the quantity of control indicates surpasses the base required characterize the fitting change show, iterative Arbitrary specimen accord algorithm [19], and be utilized to vigorously appraise the parameters for registration of the images.

The algorithms created to locate the spatial change parameters amongst source and target images in the image registration process falls into spatial recurrence area models. These models utilize recurrence changes, for example, interpretation, pivot, and scaling in recurrence space. The stage relationship technique is utilized to a couple of images keeping in mind the end goal to deliver a third image which contains a solitary crest in the recurrence area. The area of this pinnacle compares to the relative interpretation amongst source and target images. The stage relationship strategy is flexible to commotion, impediments, and different imperfections run of the mill of therapeutic or satellite images, Not at all like numerous spatial area algorithms. Moreover, the stage relationship utilizes the quick Fourier change technique to register the cross-

connection between's the two images that for the most part comes about expansive execution picks up. This technique is stretched out to decide revolution and scaling contrasts between two images by first changing over the images into log-polar directions [11], [12]. Because of productive properties of Fourier change, the turn and scaling parameters are resolved in a way invariant to interpretation.

D. Mono-multi-modality models

Another grouping is made amongst monomodality and multi-methodology techniques. In single methodology show the images are obtained by a similar scanner/sensor, while in multi-methodology registration strategies the images gained by various scanners/sensors and additionally at various circumstances.

Multi-methodology enlistment techniques have numerous applications in therapeutic image examination. The therapeutic images that are gotten from divergent scanners are utilized for restorative finding. The illustrations incorporate enlistment of cerebrum CT/X-ray images or entire body PET/CT images for a tumor confinement or imperfection in some other human body/part conclusion reason. The enlistment of differentiation upgraded versus non-differentiate improved in CT images for division of particular parts of the life structures, and registration of ultrasound and CT images for prostate restriction in radiotherapy is another application.

E. Manual, Semi-Automatic, and Automatic models

The algorithms created to give the level of computerization are grouped into (I) manual, (ii) self-loader or intelligent and (iii) programmed models of image registration techniques. The instruments produced for manual arrangement of source and target images are called as manual models. Self-loader or intuitive models needs client to check the correspondence of registration. Intuitive techniques diminish client inclination by playing out certain key operations naturally and help the client to direct for the registration. Self-loader techniques perform a greater amount of the registration steps consequently yet rely upon the client to confirm the rightness of image enlistment process. Algorithms that are created for not to permit any client connection of any kind, and plays out all registration steps consequently.

F. Similarity models

Image comparability show is mostly utilized as a part of therapeutic imaging. In this model, the image likeness measure evaluates the level of comparability between power examples of two images. The image similitude measure relies upon the methodology of the images to be enlisted. The cases of image likeness measures are: crosscorrelation, shared data, entirety of squared power contrasts, and proportion image consistency. The most prevalent image comparability measure in image registration of multimodality images are: common data and standardized shared data. The measure like cross-relationship, entirety of squared power contrasts and proportion image consistency are normally utilized as a part of image registration techniques for images in a similar methodology.

G. Uncertainty models

There is a level of vulnerability related with enlisting images that have any spatial-transient contrasts. The testing and imperative issue in image enlistment is to assess the execution of registration algorithm in vulnerability models. The direct quantitative approach is to contrast the distortion field and ground truth change strategy [22], and with the nonappearance of this change the vulnerability demonstrate utilizes estimation and preparing techniques for the exactness to deduce image enlistment mistake [23]. A certain enlistment with a measure of vulnerability is basic for some change location applications, for example, restorative diagnostics. In remote detecting applications utilized as a part of NASA's LANDSAT symbolism where a computerized image pixel may speak to a few kilometers of spatial separation, and an indeterminate image enlistment can imply that an answer could be a few kilometers from ground truth. A few striking papers have endeavored to measure vulnerability in image registration with a specific end goal to analyze comes about [13], [14]. Be that as it may, many ways to deal with measuring vulnerability or evaluating distortions are computationally escalated or just pertinent to restricted arrangements of spatial changes.

H. Optimization models

The center thought of image enlistment is clear, yet usage of improvement is perplexing. Given as information a reference image 'R' and a deformable format image 'T', an image enlistment algorithm yields a twisting 'u'. This twisting gives relocations for the vector of areas 'X' and, when these removals are connected to the layout 'T', the altered format should all the more intently coordinate the reference 'R'. This is an advancement issue, intending to limit the contrast between the distorted format "T(X-u(X))" and the first reference "R(X)". Vector 'X' will be utilized to speak to all lists in the image at the same time. What's more, 'x' will be utilized for a solitary area in the image, with "u (x)" being the disfigurement at that area [20]. In spite of the common model, diverse strategies for image enlistment will change in enhancement techniques and, all the more imperatively, the metric used to decide the distinction. A few algorithms will put strict confinements on the disfigurement 'u', some will try to concentrate on particular parts of the image, and others will incorporate a regularization term to guarantee smoothness of the misshapening.

I. Parametric and non-parametric models

Parametric image enlistment comprises of strategies in light of limited arrangements of parameters or potentially image highlights. Principal among these systems is point of interest - based image registration. Various markers are determined in both the reference and the format, and a change is looked for that permits these to adjust. This change could be a direct enlistment, a quadratic one, or in a perfect world some other kind of smooth registration. Essentially coordinating the markers and that's it, in any case, can bring about sick - framed arrangements, as clarified later. Assessing the smoothness of the change could be more helpful, and an altered historic point – based enlistment is exhibited later in this paper. Points of interest, be that as it may, are hard to consequently find. While some robotization of marker finding is conceivable, human mediation may in any case be required, diminishing the independence of this technique definitely. Primary tomahawks are advanced as an option; the focal point of a image, alongside the vectors along which its principle tomahawks lie, are effortlessly found through fundamental numerical examination. Finding a change between the tomahawks of the reference and the layout is simple, however has its shortcomings.

Specifically, the chief tomahawks strategy holds excessively equivocalness. With even extraordinary rectangles having similar highlights by this measure, the capacity of the key tomahawks strategy to coordinate images is restricted, best case scenario. Then again, the image highlights could be extended to incorporate the entire image, and the parameters to upgrade could be controlled. A few techniques confine themselves to relative straight changes, meaning to improve just a couple of terms. Among these are some force base plans utilizing Gauss - Newton strategies, and a couple of plans utilizing new separation measures. While fascinating, these go past the extent of this paper. In non - parametric image registration, by differentiate, it is neither concentrate on particular focuses nor request a relative direct change [20]. While such a change might be favored, non - straight misshapenings are conceivable.

In that capacity, we plan to limit the metric $J[R,T; u] := D[R,T;u] + \alpha S[u]$ where D is a metric for the contrast between the reference and the disfigured layout, and S is a measure of the distortion's smoothness. For the distinction, the aggregate of squared contrasts is a mainstream metric which can be immediately processed over Ω. The smoothness measure, then again, changes generally with the particular non-parametric strategy utilized. At long last, contingent upon the issue to be settled, affixing a punishment term is conceivable if specific arrangements are to be kept away from.

$$D[R, T; u]:= 1/2 \| R – T u \| 2 = 1/2 \int \Omega (T(x - u(x)) – R(x)) 2 dx$$

J. Rigid and non-rigid models

Generally, rigid and non-rigid model grouping is existing in image registration. In the inflexible models, the images are thought to be of items that basically should be turned and made an interpretation of w.r.to each other to accomplish the required correspondence. Non-unbending models are expansion to inflexible models by enabling misshapenness to accomplish great coordinating. Non-inflexible image registration models are more broad approach than the generally utilized relative and unbending models, however require more unpredictable system and computational push to

execute for its prerequisite. The reason for non-inflexible enlistment is to adjust to conform to the image change demonstrates [21]. The execution assessment of non-unbending image registration is a troublesome assignment since point-wise correspondence starting with one image then onto the next isn't novel. That is there is only every once in a long while a ground truth correspondence guide to judge the execution of an enlistment registration, consequently a mind boggling errand, and the multifaceted nature is comprehended, while, inflexible and relative registrations can commonly be resolved in seconds or minutes yet most non-unbending enlistment registration require minutes or hours with that time being spent either distinguishing a geometric arrangement of comparing highlights to coordinate straightforwardly or naturally deciding a substantial number of parameters by coordinating voxel powers specifically.

Yet, there is a developing collection of distributed work that spotlights on genuine utilizations of non-rigid registration as opposed to specialized refinements, and non-unbending registration technique is a key necessity for the use of biomechanical models of human body. Making of formation of a non specific heart display that is instantiated by direct flexible enlistment with cardiovascular images of a subject gained with more than one imaging methodology [15].

V. CONCLUSIONS

Image registration is a dynamic field of research in image processing. Numerous techniques can even now be considered for image handling all in all and image investigation specifically. The need and prerequisites in medicinal research is inconceivable given that the outlined algorithms and techniques are approved appropriately. In spite of the fact that the correct check techniques are known as a rule, and coarsely laid for most applications the careful work of directing the many analyses included is just now beginning because of its computational intricacy. Image registration process is the most fundamental piece of all panoramic image generation and creation, where application and utilizations are unbelievable for specialists aching to develop the image enlistment strategies from general to particular to complex applications in the field of computerized image handling.

VI. REFERENCES

[1]. Barbara Zitova, Jan Flusser, "Image registration methods: a survey", Image and Vision Computing 21 (2003), 977–1000.

[2]. J. V.Chapnick, M.E.Noz, G.Q. Maguire, E.L.Kramer, J.J.Sanger, B.A.Birnbaum, A.J.Megibow, "Techniques of Multimodality Image Registration" Proceedings of the IEEE nineteenth Annual North East Bioengineeering conference, 18-19 March 1993, 221-222.

[3]. P. A. Van Den Elsen, E. J. D. Pol and M. A. Viergever, "Medical image matching: a review with classification", IEEE Engineering in medicine and biology, 12(1):1993,26-39

[4]. J. B. Antoine Maintz and Max A. Viergever, "A Survey of Medical Image Registration", Medical Image Analysis (1998) Volume2, number 1,pp 1-36, Oxford University Press

[5]. Brown Gottesfeld L, "A survey of image Registration Techniques", ACM Computing surveys 24, 1992, 325-376

[6]. Mauer C.R., Fitzpatrick J.M., "A review of medical image registration, in: Interactive Image Guided Neurosurgery", Maciunas R.J. (ed), American association of Neurological Surgeons, 1993, 17-44

[7]. Letser.H, Arrige S.R., "A survey of hierarchical nonlinear medical image registration" Pattern Recognition, 1999, 129-149

[8]. Wan Rui, Prof.Li Minglu, "An Overview of Medical Image Registration", Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Multimedia Applications (ICCIMA'03),2003, 385-390

[9]. A. Ardeshir Goshtasby: 2-D and 3-D Image Registration for Medical, Remote Sensing, and Industrial Applications, Wiley Press, 2005.

[10]. L. Shapiro and Stockman, Computer Vision.Prentice-Hall, 2001.

[11]. B. Srinivasa Reddy, B. N. Chatterji: An FFT-Based Technique for Translation, Rotation and Scale-Invariant Image Registration. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 5, no. 8.

[12]. G. Wohlberg, S. Zokai: ROBUST IMAGE REGISTRATION USING LOG-POLAR TRANSFORM • A paper on using the log polar transform for registration

[13]. Simonson, K., Drescher, S., Tanner, F., A Statistics Based Approach to Binary Image

Registration with Uncertainty Analysis. IEEE Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol. 29, No. 1, January 2007

[14]. Domokos, C., Kato, Z., Francos, J., Parametric estimation of affine deformations of binary images. Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 2008

[15]. Sermesant M, Forest C, Pennec X, Delingette H, Ayache N. Deformable biomechanical models: Application to 4D cardiac image analysis. Med Image Anal 2003;7:475–88

[16]. Pelizzari CA, Chen GTY, Spelbring DR, Weichselbaum RR, Chen CT. Accurate 3-dimensional registration of CT, PET, and/or MR images of the brain. J Comput Assist Tomogr 1989;13:20–6

[17]. Hill DLG, Hawkes DJ, Crossman JE, Gleeson MJ, Cox TCS, Bracey EECML, et al. Registration of MR and CT images for skull base surgery using point-like anatomical features. Br J Radiol 1991;64:1030–5.

[18]. L.G. Robers, Machine Perception of 3-D Solids, Ph.D. Thesis, MIT,1963.

[19]. M.A. Fischler and R.C. Bolles. Random sample consensus: A paradigm for model fitting with applications to image analysis and automated cartography. Communications of the ACM, 24(6):381–395,1981.

[20]. Stuart Alexander MacGillivray, Cuvature based image registration: Review and extentions, An essay presented to the University of Waterloo in fulfillment of the essay requirement for the degree of Master of Mathematics in Combinatorics and Optimization Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, 2009.

[21]. Peter Rogelj and Stanislav Kovaˇciˇc, Similarity Measures for Non- Rigid Registration, Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia, Research program.

[22]. M. Hub, M.L. Kessler, and C.P. Karger. A stochastic approach to estimate the uncertainty involved in B-spline image registration. MedImg, 28:1708–1716, 2009.

[23]. Uncertainty in Probabilistic Image Registration by Tayebeh Lotfi Mahyari, Tayebeh Lotfi Mahyari 2013, Simon Fraser University, Canada, Summer 2013.

[24]. Barbara Zitova and Jan Flusser, Image Registration Methods: A Survey, Image and Vision Computing 21, 977–1000, 2003.

[25]. Rachna P. Gajre, Dr. Leena Ragha," Comparison of Image Registration Methods for Satellite Images", International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, Volume 3, Issue 11, November 2013.

Authors:

Ch. Sowmya received her B.Tech degree in computer Science and engineering from D.V.R college of engineering nd technology, Telangana in 2016. She is currently pursuing her M.Tech Degree in Computer Science and Engineering from Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad. Her research interest includes Data Mining and Image Processing

Dr. Ch. Mallikarjuna Rao received the B.Tech degree in computer science from Maharashtra in 1998 M.Tech from JNTU Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh in 2007 and Ph.D. from JNTU, Ananthapuramu. Currently he is working in “Gokaraju Rangaraju Institute of Engineering and Technology”, Hyderabad. His area of Interests are Data Mining, Bigdata and Software Engineering.