© 2018 IJSRCSEIT | Volume 3 | Issue 1 | ISSN : 2456-3307

A Review on Various Image Segmentation Techniques for Brain Tumor Detection

Munmun Saha, Chandrasekhar Panda

Department of Computer Science and Application, Sambalpur University, Jyoti Vihar, Sambalpur, Odisha, India

ABSTRACT

Segmentation is consider as one of the main step in image processing and it plays and important role in image processing. It is the process of subdividing an image into its constituent parts. In this paper we have reviewed various methods of segmentation and its application in medical image processing i.e. MRI image Ultrasound Image etc, we have focused on Brain Tumor MRI image. Recent medical imaging research faces the challenge of detecting brain tumor through MRI(Magnetic Resonance Image). There is a high diversity in the appearance of tumor tissue among different patients and in many cases similarity with the usual tissue. We have used MRI because it provide accurate visualize of anatomical structure of tissue. In this paper various method that have been used for segmentation of MRI for detecting brain tumor is reviewed.

Keywords: MRI, Segmentation, Clustering, K-means algorithm, Fuzzy C-mean algorithm, edge detection, Thresholding, Region Growing, Region Splitting, Watershed Segmentation Algorithm, Entropy, SVM.

I. INTRODUCTION

Main organ in human nervous system is human brain and it is located in human head and covered by skull. Brain Tumor is defined as the abnormal growth of the tissues, which is a cluster of nerve cells, or neurons, in the brain.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is the test that makes use of pulses of radio wave energy and magnet to build the pictures containing the structure inside the body and the organs. A particular area of the body is placed inside the machine that contains strong magnet field at the time of MRI test.

Brain tumor detection and segmentation is one of the most challenging and time consuming task in medical image processing MRI provides plentiful information about the human soft tissue, which helps in the diagnosis of brain tumor. Accurate segmentation of MRI image is important for the diagnosis of brain tumor by computer aided clinical tool.

Process Of Segmenting a Brain MRI image



Process of segmentation

Firstly the original brain MRI image is found. Then the original Brain MRI image is Preprocessed. Processing is necessary as it enhanced the image and prepare for segmentation. Preprocessing includes resizing the image, noise removal from the image, image enhancement, image normalization and background and segmentation. Preprocessing enhancement techniques are used to improve the detection of the suspicious region from Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI). Secondly, the removal of high frequency components using filtering technique that includes median filter, Adaptive filter and Spatial filter. Other artifacts like text removed by some morphological operations. RGB to grey conversion and reshaping also takes place here.

The segmentation is the most important stage for analyzing image properly since it affects the accuracy of the subsequent steps.

Segmentation Technique



Approaches of segmentation



Isoled Point Detection: A point is the most basic type of discontinuity in a digital image. The most common approach for finding discontinuities is to run a $(n \times n)$ mask over each point in the image. The point is detected at a location (x, y) in an image where the mask is centered. If the corresponding value of R such that $||\mathbf{R}|| > T$

Where R is the response of the mask at any point in the image and T is non-negative threshold value.

Line Detection Approach: Line detection is the next level of complexity in the direction of image discontinuity. For any point in the image, a response can be calculated that will show which direction the point of a line is most associated with. For line detection, we use two masks, i and j. [11]

Edge Detection: Since isolated points and lines of unitary pixel thickness are infrequent in most practical application, edge detection is the most common approach in gray level discontinuity segmentation. An edge is a boundary between two regions having distinct intensity level.[12] It is very useful in detecting of discontinuity in an image. When the image changes from dark to white or vice-versa. There are few operator Sobel edge operator, Laplacian Edge Operator, Prewitt Edge Operator.

Similarity Approach: It is also known as region based segmentation technique. The main goal of this method is to group pixels in the image, which are similar in some sense.

Thresholding: Threshold technique is one of the most used techniques in image segmentation. This technique can be expressed as: T=T[x, y, p(x, y), f(x, y)]

Where: T is the threshold value x, y are the coordinates of the threshold value point. p(x,y) f(x,y) are points the gray level image pixels. Threshold image g(x,y) can be define

$$g(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) > T \\ 0 & if \quad f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \le T \end{cases}$$

Region Growing: The region-based segmentation is partitioning of an image into similar/homogenous areas of connected pixels through the application of homogeneity/similarity criteria among candidate sets of pixels. Each of the pixels in region is similar with respect to some characteristics or computed property such as color, intensity and/or texture. Failure to adjust the homogeneity/similarity criteria accordingly will produce undesirable results.

The following are some of them:

- ✓ The segmented region might be smaller or larger than the actual
- ✓ Over or under-segmentation of the image (arising of pseudo objects or missing objects)
- ✓ Fragmentation

Region growing is a simple region-based image segmentation method. It is also classified as a pixelbased image segmentation method since it involves the selection of initial seed points. This approach of segmentation

examines neighboring pixels of initial "seed points" and determines whether the pixel neighbors should be added to the region.[13]

Region Splitting Approach: The basic idea of region splitting is to break the image into a set of disjoint regions which are coherent within themselves:

- ✓ Initially take the image as a whole to be the area of interest.
- ✓ Look at the area of interest and decide if all pixels contained in the region satisfy some similarity constraint. [14]
- ✓ If TRUE then the area of interest corresponds to a region in the image.
- ✓ If FALSE split the area of interest (usually into four equal sub-areas) and consider each of the sub-areas as the area of interest in turn.
- ✓ This process continues until no further splitting occurs. In the worst case this happens when the areas are just one pixel in size.
- ✓ This is a divide and conquer or top down method.

If only a splitting schedule is used then the final segmentation would probably contain many neighboring regions that have identical or similar properties.

Thus, a **merging** process is used after each split, which compares adjacent regions and merges them if necessary. Algorithms of this nature are called **split and merge** algorithms.[14]

Watershed Transform

The watershed Transform considers the gradient magnitude of an image as a topographic surface. Pixels having the highest gradient magnitude intensities (GMIs) correspond to watershed lines, which represent the region boundaries. Water placed on any pixel

enclosed by a common watershed line flows downhill to a common local intensity minimum (LIM). Pixels draining to a common minimum form a catch basin, which represents a segment.[15]

Clustering

Clustering is a process whereby a data set is replaced by clusters, which are collections of data points that "belong together". It is natural to think of image segmentation as clustering; we would like to represent an image in terms of clusters of pixels that "belong together". The specific criterion to be used depends on the application. Pixels may belong together because they have the same color and/or they have the same texture and/or they are nearby, etc.[16]

K-Mean Clustering Algorithm

K-means algorithm is the most well-known and widely used unsupervised clustering technique in partitioned clustering algorithms. Purpose of this algorithm is to minimize the distances of all the elements to their cluster centers. Inspiring or improving k-means develops most of the algorithms in this field. The algorithm upgrades the clusters iteratively and runs in a loop until it reaches to optimal solution. Performance of K-means algorithm depends on initial values of cluster centers. Therefore the algorithm should be tested for different outcomes with different initial cluster centers by multi-running.



Figure 1. K-Means Clustering

Fuzzy Clustering

It is effectively used in pattern recognition and fuzzy modeling. There are various similarity measures used to identify classes depended on the data and the application. Similarity measures for example distance, connectivity, and intensity are used. Its application is in data analysis, pattern recognition and image segments.[17] Fuzzy clustering method can be considered to be superior since they can represent the relationship between the input pattern data and clusters more naturally. Fuzzy c-means is a popular soft clustering method. Fuzzy c- means is one of the most promising fuzzy clustering methods. In most cases, it is more flexible than the corresponding hard-clustering algorithm. Traditional clustering approaches generate partition, each pattern belongs to one and merely single cluster. That's why, the clusters in a hard clustering technique are dislodged. Fuzzy clustering enlarges this notion to connect each pattern with every cluster by means of a membership function. The outcome of such algorithms is a clustering, although not a partition.



Figure 2. Fuzzy Cluster

Segmentation Using Genetic Algorithm (GA)

Thangavel and Karnan (2005) said a genetic algorithm (GA) [10] is an optimization technique for obtaining the best possible solution in a vast solution space. Genetic algorithms operate on populations of strings, with the string coded to represent the parameter set. The intensity values of the tumor pixels are considered as initial population for the genetic algorithm.[10]The intensity values of the suspicious regions are then converted as bit binary strings and these values are then converted as population strings and intensity values are considered as fitness value for genetic algorithm. Now the genetic operator's reproduction, crossover and mutation are applied to get new population of strings.

Level Set Approach

Lavel-set method (LSM) are a conceptual framework for using level sets as a tool for numerical analysis of surface and spaces. The advantage of the level-set model is that one can perform numerical computations involving curves and surface on a fixed Cartesian grid without having to parameterized these object(this is called the Eulerian approach). [18] Also, the level-set method makes it very easy to follow shapes that change topology, for example, when a shape splits in two, develops holes, or the reverse of these operations. All these make the level-set method a great tool for modelling time-varying objects, like inflation of an airbag, or a drop of oil floating in water. The Level set method was initially proposed to track moving interface by Osher and Sethainin 1988 and gas spread across various imaging domains in late90s.It can be used to efficiently address the problem of curve/surface/etc.[18] propagation in an implicit

manner. The central idea is to represent the evolving contour using a signed function whose zero corresponds to the actual contour. Then, according to the motion equation of the contour, one can easily derive a similar flow for the implicit surface that when applied to the zero level will reflect the propagation of the contour.

Literature Review

A plenty of work has been proposed by researchers for the MRI brain image segmentation and tumor detection techniques. A brief review of some of the research work is presented here.

Swapnil R.Telrandhe ,Amit Pimpalkar and Ankita Kendhe [1] found a Technique of detecting brain tumor using similarity based segmentation algorithm and SVM for pattern recognition. In this paper, they implemented an automated system for brain tumor detection, the main functionality of this system is divided in some parts and Segmentation, Object Labeling, HOG (Histogram Oriented Gradient), feature extraction and linear SVM is implemented. [20]For Segmentation they have used K-means algorithm, for Object Labeling HOG is use, HOG also use to extract texture feature, shape context feature and color feature. Then they have implemented the SVM based on this feature they can train the SVM and further test is on other infected MRI images.



The experimental result of the above proposed experiment is shown in the figure. In above figure the result of proposed system is explain there, the first is original MRI image which is having some noise in it. The noise is removed with median filter the result of median filter is shown in the second image. Then the morphological filtering is used on the preprocessed image and the masks are used to remove the fatty tissues and skull bone.

Then the resulted image is going under the segmentation with thresholding, here they have used three colors to show regions according to the intensity slices. After that the resulted image of segmentation goes under object labeling with HOG algorithm and

also does the extraction of texture, color and shape context feature. [1]

Padmakant Dhage, Prof. M.R.Phegade, Dr.S.K.Shah [2] has proposed an paper which illustrates the ability of watershed segmentation technique to separate the abnormal tissue from the normal surrounding tissue to get a real identification of involved and noninvolved area that help the surgeon to distinguish the involved area precisely. At the end of the process tumor is extracted from the MRI image and its exact position and shape are determined and various parameters like perimeter, eccentricity, entropy and centroid have been calculated. The proposed system has four modules namely preprocessing, segmentation, connected component leveling(CCL) and multi parameter calculation. Preprocessing includes filtering of image. Segmentation is carried out by watershed algorithm.[2] Using CCL location is found out and area is calculated of the tumor in MRI image.



(d) Tumor Detected As White Portion

First of all input image is shown here (a) shows input images which has brain tumor. Threshold segmentation is applied on these images, which contains brain tumor. The results are shown in the figure (b). Morphological operators are applied after the watershed segmentation(c). Figure (d) shows the resulted tumor detected image.

The above figure gives the experimental result of the proposed algorithm step by step. The input image (a) was processed. Noise is added in (b). It is denoise using median filter, resulting image is obtained shown in (c). Original MRI image having binary, distance transform, and watershed superimposed image shown in (d), (e) and (f) respectively. Compute CCL to dilated image whose object area is less than 500, resulting image shown in (g). Assign the RGB label for connected components shown in (h). Final detected tumor from MRI image is shown in (i).

Anam Mustaqeem, Ali Javed, Tehseen Fatima [3] has proposed an efficient algorithm in there paper for tumor detection based on segmentation and morphological operators. Firstly quality of scanned image is enhanced and then morphological operators are applied to detect the tumor in the scanned image. They conducted a research to detect brain tumor using medical imaging techniques. The main technique used was segmentation, which is done using a method based on threshold watershed segmentation segmentation, and morphological operators. The proposed segmentation method was experimented with MRI scanned images of human brains, thus locating tumor in the images. [3]Samples of human brains were taken, scanned using MRI process and then were processed through segmentation methods .thugiving efficient end results.

(c) Morphological operator



The above images gives different observation in different entropy method. And they propose that Havrda Chavat gives the most accurate result.

N. Manasa, G. Mounica, B.Divya Tejaswi [5] proposed a method where the tumor is segmented based on canny edge detection algorithm. It is the only procedure capable of finding the best contours while eliminating all edges associated with the gray matter in original image as compared to other edge detection algorithm [5] Finally thresholding is applied to the image and based on this tumor area and shape is calculated. The proposed method involves four modules. Preprocessing, Segmentation, Feature Extraction and Approximate Reasoning. Firstly preprocessing is done by filtering techniques such as Gaussian and Median filters. Image acquisition involves preprocessing such as scaling, Image Enhancement filtering and noise reduction. Secondly segmentation is done by canny algorithm. Thirdly Feature Extraction, in pattern recognition and in image processing, feature extraction is to obtain the most relevant information in a lower dimensionality space. When image sizes are large and a reduce feature representation is required to quickly complete tasks. Fourthly Approximate Reasoning, in this step thresholding is applied to the image. Finally tumor shape and area is obtained.



The Above figure shows all the experimental result.

J.selvakumar, A.Lakshmi T.Arivoli [6] proposed a paper, which deals with the implementation of Simple Algorithm for detection of range and shape of tumor in brain MRI images. However this method of detection resists the accurate determination of stage & size of tumor. To avoid that, this project uses computer-aided method for segmentation (detection) of brain tumor based on the combination of two algorithms. This method allows the segmentation of tumor tissue with accuracy and reproducibility comparable to manual segmentation. In addition, it also reduces the time for analysis. At the end of the process the tumor is extracted from the MRI image and its exact position and the shape is also determined. The stage of the tumor is displayed based on the amount of area calculated from the cluster. The proposed system has mainly four modules: pre processing, segmentation, Feature extraction, and approximate reasoning. Pre processing is done by filtering. Segmentation is carried out by advanced K means and Fuzzy C-means algorithms. Feature extraction is by thresholding and finally, Approximate reasoning method is to recognize



The Above Images Shows all the step of the proposed algorithm respectively.

A.R.Kavitha, Dr.C.Chellamuthu, Ms.Kavin Rupa [7] has proposed Region growing is an important application of image segmentation in medical research for detection of tumor. In this paper, they proposed an effective modified region growing technique for detection of brain tumor. Modified region growing includes an orientation constraint in addition to the normal intensity constrain. The performance of the proposed technique is systematically evaluated using the MRI brain image received from the public sources. [7] For validating the effectiveness of the modified region growing, the quantity rate parameter has been considered. For the evaluation of the proposed technique of tumor detection, the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy values were used. Comparative analyses were made for the normal and the modified region growing both the Feed Forward Neural Network (FFNN) and Radial Basis Function (RBF) neural network. The results shows that the modified region growing achieved better results when compared to the normal technique.[7] The proposed technique consists of four phases namely pre-processing, extended region growing, feature extraction and classification of tumor. In pre processing, Gaussian filtering and RGB to Grey image conversion is done. In the extended region growing they have produced an additional constraint of 'orientation' apart on the normal 'intensity' constraint used in the normal region growing techniques. In the feature extraction phase, certain features like area, orientation, mean, correlation and co-variance of the region is extracted. In the final classification they use the Neural network classifier to detect tumor or not.



Results. (a) MRI image with tumor, (b) gridded image, (c) seed point selected image, (d) segmented image

K.B Vishnavee, K.Amshakala [8] in there has proposed Self Organizing Map (SOM) clustering for MRI brain image segmentation. Before segmentation the Histogram Equalization is utilized for feature extraction, which will improve the segmentation accuracy. After the segmentation process, the feature extraction using Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix is utilized which avoids the formation of misclustered regions. The Principle Component Analysis (PCA) method is used for the feature selection to improve the classifier accuracy. An effective classifier Proximal Support Vector Machines (PSVM) is used to automatically detect the tumor from MRI brain image. This method is faster and computationally more efficient than the existing method SVM. While the SOM clustering with Histogram Equalization is a fast procedure for the segmentation of the whole volume and provides a way to model tissue classes, the PSVM-GLCM-PCA approach is a more robust scheme under noisy or bad intensity normalization conditions, which produces better results using high-resolution images.



Sangram Keshari Nayak and Dr Chandra Sekhar Panda [9] proposed an algorithm which deal withpartition of an image to get mutually exclusive and exhausted regions. Further the regions need to possess homogeneous pixels with respect to predefined criterion. Segmentation algorithms are area oriented instead of pixel oriented. The result of segmentation is the splitting up of the image into connected areas. Thus segmentation is concerned with dividing an image in to meaningful regions.



The above image gives the experimental result.

Comparison Table

Sr	Year	Author	Technique Used	Result	Advantage	Reference
No						
1.	2016	Swapnil	K-means	The extracted features	It gives a faire	[1]
		R.Telrandhe,	algorithm,	used to train SVM and	result for the	
		Amit Pimpalkar	HOG,SVM	the database and is use	input and high	
		and Ankita		for pattern matching and	accuracy rate.	
		Kendhe		test the system.		
2.	2015	Padmakant	Median Filter,	Different parameter like	Its simplicity and	[2]
		Dhage, Prof M.R	Watershed	Area, Perimeter,	efficiency. It can	
		Phegade, Dr.	Transformation,	Eccentricity, Entropy,	remove high	
		S.K Shah	CCL	Centroid By using	frequency	
				Watershed	component	
				Transformation	without	
					disturbing edges.	
3	2012	Anam	Threshold	The portion with high	The portion with	[3]
		Mustaqeen, Ali	segmentation	intensity value is	high intensity	
		Javed, Tehseen	Watershed	calculated and tumor is	values are	
		Fatima	Transformation,	segmented and detected.	calculated and	
			Morphological		tumor is detected	
			Operation		and segmented	
4	2016	Devendra	Threshold	After comparing and	Color is	[4]
		Somwanshi,	technique,	analyzing through	Preserved, and	

Ashutosh intensity and simulation result it is accur	irate nicture is
Kumar Pratima different entropy observed that havrdra- four	and Better
Sharma Deepika based algorithm Charvat entrony accu	
Joshi	urac y
Joshi periornis better than	
Other entropy algorithm.	
5 2010 N.Manasa, G. Cany Edge Finally brain tumor is 1 un	
Mounica, B. Detection detected and area is and	area 1s
Divya lejaswari Algorithm calculated. Area calcu	culated clearly
calculated is 1027,	
PSNR= 30.13	
MSE=2.23	
6 2012 J. Selvakumar, K-mean The tumor is detected The	stage of the [6]
A.Lakshmi, Clustering And and the predicted tumor tumo	or is
T.Anvoli Fuzzy C Mean area is calculated. The calculated	culated
algorithm stage of tumor is based	
on area of tumor	
7 2012 A.R Kavitha, Dr Modified Region The Performance of the This	s method [7]
C. Chellamuthu, Growing And technique is compared rece	eived a better
Ms Kavin Rupa Artificial Neural with the region growing quar	ntity rate for
Network algorithm to evaluate all	the input
sensitivity, specificity imag	ge, and it also
and accuracy. give	es better result
in	term of
sens	sitivity,
spec	cificity and
accu	uracy proving
its e	effectiveness.
8 2015 K P. Vaichnavaa SOM Clustering In this paper the Sam	
6 2015 K.D valsinavee Solvi-Clustering in this paper the Segi	mentation [8]
K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir	mentation [8] ng SOM
K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection clust	mentation [8] ng SOM stering
8 2013 K.B vaisinavee SOM-Clustering in tins paper tile Segr K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection cluss Machine of brain tumor with	mentation [8] ng SOM ttering duce an
8 2013 K.B vaisinavee SOM-Clustering in tins paper tile Segr K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection cluss Machine of brain tumor with Classifier, segmentation result are effect	mentation [8] ng SOM stering duce an ctive result
8 2013 K.B valshlavee Solvi-Clustering In this paper the segn K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection clussification Machine of brain tumor with Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than	mentation [8] ng SOM tering duce an ctive result n manual
8 2013 K.B valshlavee SOM-Clustering In this paper the segn K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection clust Machine of brain tumor with prod Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, brain segmentation segmentation	mentation [8] ng SOM tering duce an ctive result n manual mentation.
8 2013 K.B valshlavee SOM-Clustering In this paper the segn K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection cluss Machine of brain tumor with prod Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, brain image. segn Principal The performance of the segn	mentation [8] ng SOM ttering duce an ctive result n manual mentation.
8 2013 K.B valsinavee SOM-Clustering in tills paper till Segr K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection clust Machine of brain tumor with Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, brain segn Principal The performance of the component	mentation [8] ng SOM tering duce an ctive result n manual mentation.
K. Amahakala K. Amahakala K. Amahakala K. Amahakala K. Amahakala K. Amahakala K. Amahakala K. Amahakala K. Amahakala K. Amahakala Support Vector Machine Classifier, Histogram Equalization, Principal K. Amahakala K. Amahakala K	mentation [8] ng SOM tetering duce an ctive result n manual mentation.
8 2013 K.B valsimavee Solvi-Custering in tills paper till segn K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection cluss Machine of brain tumor with Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, brain mage. segn Principal The performance of the component proposed HFC-SOM Analysis<(PCA)	mentation [8] ng SOM tering duce an ctive result n manual mentation.
8 2013 K.B Valsinavee Solvi-Clustering in tills paper till Segr K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection clust Machine of brain tumor with Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, brain mage. segn Principal The performance of the component proposed HFC-SOM Analysis<(PCA)	mentation [8] ng SOM tetering duce an ctive result n manual mentation.
82013K.B valsimaveeSolvi-CusteringintillspapertheSegrK. AmahakalaandProximalclassification for normalUsirSupportVectorand abnormal detectionclussMachineofbraintumorwithOfClassifier,segmentationresult areHistogramdiscussed with real MRIthanEqualization,brainimage.PrincipalThe performance of theComponentproposedHFC-SOMAnalysis (PCA)methodforusing Taninactoy Index92016SangramMedianFilter.ItItpresent all the resultThe	mentation [8] ng SOM tering duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed [9]
82013K.B valsimaveeSolvi-CusteringintillspapertheSegrK. AmahakalaandProximalclassification for normalUsirSupportVectorand abnormal detectionclussiMachineofbraintumorwithOfClassifier,segmentationresult areeffectHistogramdiscussed with real MRIthanEqualization,brainmage.segnPrincipalThe performance of thesegnComponentproposedHFC-SOMAnalysis<(PCA)	mentation [8] ng SOM tering duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed [9] prithm has
8 2013 K.B Valsinavee Solvi-Clustering in uns paper the Segret K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection clussification for normal Usir Machine of brain tumor with prod Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, brain image. segn Principal The performance of the segn Component proposed HFC-SOM Analysis<(PCA)	mentation [8] ng SOM tering duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed [9] prithm has y less
8 2013 K.B.Valshilavee Solvi-Clustering In uns paper ule Segr K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection clussification for normal Usir Machine of brain tumor with prod Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, brain image. segn Principal The performance of the segn Component proposed HFC-SOM Analysis<(PCA)	mentation [8] ng SOM stering duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed [9] orithm has y less mplexity and
8 2013 K.B valshlavee Solvi-Custering In uns paper ule Segr K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection cluss Machine of brain tumor with Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, brain image. segn Principal The performance of the segn Component proposed HFC-SOM Analysis<(PCA)	mentation [8] ng SOM tetring duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed [9] orithm has y less plexity and n accuracy
8 2013 K.B valshnavee SOM-Clustering infficults paper the Segret K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection clust Machine of brain tumor with Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, principal The performance of the Principal The performance of the segnethed Component proposed HFC-SOM Analysis<(PCA) method is evaluated by using Taninactoy Index 9 2016 Sangram Keshri Median Filter, It present all the result The 9 2016 Sangram Keshri Median Filter, It present all the result The 9 2016 Sangram Keshri Median Filter, It present all the result The 9 2016 Sangram Keshri Median Filter, It present all the result The 9 2016	mentation [8] ng SOM tering duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed prithm has y less pplexity and n accuracy
8 2013 K.B Valshiavee SOM-Clustering in and segret the segret K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection clust Machine of brain tumor with Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, principal The performance of the Principal The performance of the segret Mathod for using Taninactoy Index segret 9 2016 Sangram Keshri Median Filter, It present all the result The 9 2016 Sangram Keshri Median Filter, It present all the result tha 9 2016 Sangram Keshri Median Filter, It present all the result the 9 2016 Sangram Keshri Median Filter, It present all the result the 9 2016 Sangram Keshri Median	mentation [8] ng SOM stering duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed [9] orithm has y less aplexity and n accuracy
8 2013 K.B valshiavee Solvi-Custering in uns paper the segr K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector and abnormal detection clussification for normal Usir Machine of brain tumor with prod Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram discussed with real MRI than Equalization, principal The performance of the Principal The performance of the segr Component proposed HFC-SOM Analysis<(PCA)	mentation [8] ng SOM stering duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed [9] orithm has y less plexity and n accuracy
8 2013 K.B valshiavee SOM-Clustering In this paper the segn K. Amahakala and Proximal classification for normal Usir Support Vector Machine of brain tumor with prod Machine of brain tumor with prod Classifier, segmentation result are effect Histogram Equalization, brain image. segn Principal The performance of the segn Component proposed HFC-SOM Analysis (PCA) method for using Taninactoy Index feature selection. feature selection. Transform, Regional very 9 2016 Sangram Keshri Median Filter, It present all the result The Nayak, Dr Max intensity & using extended Maxima algo Chandra entropy, Transform and image com Shekhar Panda transform region Tumor size area, image model technique. high transform and image model technique. transform and ima	mentation [8] ng SOM stering duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed [9] orithm has y less aplexity and n accuracy
82013K.B valshaveeSolve-Custering and Proximal SupportIn this paper the classification for normal and abnormal detection of brain tumor with prod discussed with real MRI brain image.Usir clussifier, effect discussed with real MRI brain image.92016Sangram Keshri Nayak, Dr ChandraMedianFilter, Max intensity & extended maximaIt present all the result ransform and image modelThe result are the proposed the proposed HFC-SOM method for transform, Regional transform and image modelThe result are the proposed the proposed the proposed the <b< td=""><td>mentation [8] ng SOM tetring duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed [9] orithm has y less aplexity and n accuracy</td></b<>	mentation [8] ng SOM tetring duce an ctive result n manual mentation. constructed [9] orithm has y less aplexity and n accuracy

II. CONCLUSION

The paper reviews and summarise some existing method of segmentation for brain tumor detection from MRI image. Though this paper is not so much rich in describing many algorithm there r many other methods and algorithm present. Although there is no perfect method for image segmentation because the result of image depends on many factors i.e pixel, color, texture, intensity etc. Therefore it is not possible to consider a single method for all type of image. Hence it is good to use hybrid solution.

III. REFERENCES

- Swapnil R. Telerandhe et al,"Implementaton of brain tumor detection using segmentation algorithm and SVM", International Journal on computer science and engineering,vol.8 no. 7 Jul 2016
- [2]. Padmakant Dhage, Prof. M.R.Phegade, Dr.S.K.Shah,"Watershed Segmentation Brain Tumor Detection", International Conference on Pervasive Computing", year 2015
- [3]. Anam Mustaqeem, Ali Javed, Tehseen Fatima," An Efficient Brain Tumor Detection Algorithm Using Watershed & Thresholding Based Segmentation", I.J. Image, Graphics and Signal Processing, 2012, 10, 34-39 Published Online September 2012 in MECS.
- [4]. Devendra Somwanshi, Ashutosh Kumar, Pratima Sharma, Deepika Joshi," An efficient Brain Tumor Detection from MRI Images using Entropy Measures", IEEE International Conference on Recent Advances and Innovations in Engineering (ICRAIE-2016), December 23-25, 2016, Jaipur, India.
- [5]. N. Manasa, G. Mounica, B.Divya Tejaswi, "Brain Tumor Detection Based on Canny Edge Detection Algorithm and it's area calculation", International Journal of Computer & Mathematical Sciences, IJCMS ISSN 2347 – 8527 Volume 5, Issue 3 March 2016
- [6]. J.selvakumar, A.Lakshmi T.Arivoli," Brain Tumor Segmentation and Its Area Calculation in Brain MR Images using K-Mean Clustering and Fuzzy C-Mean Algorithm", IEEE International Conference On Advances In Engineering, Science And Management (ICAESM -2012) March 30, 31, 2012
- [7]. A.R.Kavitha, Dr.C.Chellamuthu, Ms.Kavin Rupa," An Efficient Approach for Brain Tumour Detection

Based on Modified Region Growing and Neural Network in MRI Images", 2012 International Conference on Computing, Electronics and Electrical Technologies [ICCEET].

- [8]. K.B Vishnavee, K.Amshakala," An Automated MRI Brain Image Segmentation
- [9]. and Tumor Detection using SOM-Clustering and Proximal Support Vector Machine Classifier",
- [10]. Sangram Keshari Nayak and Dr Chandra Sekhar Panda,"Segmentation of Brain MR image Containing Tumor using Extended Maxima Transform, Regional Transform and Image Model Techniques", IJRSCSE. Volume 2, Issue 9, September 2015, PP 4-13 ISSN 2349-4840 (Print) & ISSN 2349-4859 (Online)
- [11]. K.Selvanayaki, Dr.P.Kalugasalam "Intelligent Brain Tumor Tissue Segmentation From Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI) Using Meta Heuristic Algorithms", Journal Of Global Research In Computer Science Volume 4, No. 2, February 2013
- [12]. Ahmed M. Ayash," Digital Image processing Lab", Islamic University – Gaza Engineering Faculty Department of Computer Engineering April 27, 2013
- [13]. Rajiv Kumar, M.Arthanari, M.Sivakumar," Image Segmentation using Discontinuity-Based Approach", International Journal Multimedia and Image Processing (IJMIP), Volume 2, Issues 1/2, March/June 2012
- [14]. Shilpa Kamdi , R.K.Krishna," Image Segmentation and Region Growing Algorithm", International Journal of Computer Technology and Electronics Engineering (IJCTEE) Volume 2, Issue 1
- [16]. https://people.cs.uct.ac.za/~mgallott/honsproj/water shed.html
- [17]. Barghout, Lauren; Sheynin, "Real-world scene perception and perceptual organization: Lessons from Computer Vision". Journal of Vision. 13 (9):709–709. doi:10.1167/13.9.709
- [18]. Dhriti Sharma," Image processing and image segmentation", ICRTEDC, Vol. 1, Spl. Issue 2 (May, 2014)
- [19]. Sethian, James,"Level Set Methods and Fast Marching Methods : Evolving Interfaces in Computational Geometry, Fluid Mechanics, Computer Vision, and Materials Science", Cambridge University Press. ISBN 0-521-64557-3.