

International Conference on Innovative Research in Engineering, Management and Sciences International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology

IJS CSEIT

© 2019 IJSRCSEIT | Volume 4 | Issue 9 | ISSN : 2456-3307

Brand Equity Perspective from 1990 to Till Today

Lokesh K N1, Dr. Ramachandra Gowda2

¹MBA Department, New Horizon College of Engineering, Bangalore, India ²Retd. Professor, Vidyavardhaka College, Mysuru, India

ABSTRACT

Brand Equity is a very old & most important concept in marketing. In an overcrowded market, critical success factor for any company is building brand portfolio which gives a company competitive advantage against their competitors. Every organization is bound to focus on Brand equity which enhances customer satisfaction, higher profitability, and premium pricing & so on. However the determinants of brand equity have varied over time, brand equity is viewed from different perspective such as investor, employee, and customer. This paper seeks to identify brand equity dimension from customer perspective through reviewing various literature from 1980's to till date and to study how brand determinants have changed over a period and which elements are more relevant today.

Keywords: Brand Equity, Brand Determinants, Customer Based Brand Equity, Employee Based Brand Equity.

I. INTRODUCTION

Brand being intangible asset has lot of influence on the valuation of the firms, intangible asset are being valued at higher premium than the tangible assets because of numerous advantage firm can achieve such as lower transaction cost, premium pricing, steady demand, higher bargaining power, loyal customer, increased marketing communications, easy product extension, lesser time to market new brand & so on. So for any company prime focus is building a sustainable brand in the markets, but building strong brands is not so easy in a market which is very diverse as well as very dynamic.

The Goal of my study is first to comprehend the evolution of brand equity over a period and second to analyze the changes happened in the brand equity construct over time also to know those brand constructs which are more relevant in today's market environment.

A brand is – A name, a term, a symbol, or any other unique element of a product that identifies one firm's

products and sets them apart from the competition (Solomon & Stuart, 2002).

Brand equity is defined as, a set of brand assets and liabilities linked to a brand, its name and symbol, that add to or subtract from the value provided by a product or service to a firm and/or to that firm's customers (Aaker, 1991).

Customer-based brand equity is defined as the differential effect that brand knowledge has on consumer response to the marketing of the brand. A brand has positive customer based brand equity when consumers react more favorably to a product and the way it is marketed when the brand is identified than when it is not on the other hand, a brand has negative customer based brand equity if consumers react less favorably to marketing activity for the brand compared with an unnamed or dishonestly named version of the product. Customer- based brand equity (CBBE) is used to show how a brand's success can be directly attributed to customers' attitudes towards that brand.

1. Brand Salience (who are you)

It looks at the brand from the customer point of view and about what buyers associate when they hear a specific brand name. In short, it quantifies both the depth and the breadth of customer awareness of a brand.

2. Brand Meaning (what are you) Performance & imagery are two aspects of brand meaning. The imagery-related associations depict how well the brand meets social and psychological needs of the consumer. The function-related association such as product or service performance is what the consumer looks for primarily. A brand with the right identity and meaning creates a sense of relevance in the consumer's mind.

3. Brand Responses

The companies must cater for the consumer's response. Keller segregates these responses into consumer's judgments and consumer's feelings.

Consumer Judgments are consumer's personal opinions regarding the brand and how he has put imagery-related and performance-related associations together. There are four types of judgments crucial for creating a strong brand – Quality, Credibility, Consideration, superiority.

Consumer Feelings – are consumer's emotional reactions to the brand. They can be mild, intense, positive, negative, driven from heart or head. There are six important feelings crucial in brand building – Warmth, Fun, Excitement, Security, Social approval & Self-respect.

4. Brand Resonance

It is the level of personal identification the consumer has with the brand. Brand resonance is the most difficult and highly desirable level to achieve. Keller categorizes this into four types Behavioral Loyalty – Consumers may purchase a brand repeatedly or in high volume.

Attitudinal Attachment – some consumers may buy a brand because it is their favorite possession or out of some pleasure.

Sense of Community – Being identified with a brand community develops kinship in the consumer's mind towards representatives, employees, or other people associated with the brand.

Active Engagement – Consumers invests time, money, energy, or other resources and participates actively in brand chat rooms, blogs, etc., beyond mere consumption of brand. Thus, the consumers strengthen the brand.

II. Literature Review

(keller, Kevin Lane, jan1993) Keller develops a conceptual model of brand equity from the perspective of the individual consumer. Studies how customer brand knowledge will have a differential effect on marketing of the brand which is defined as Customer- based brand equity.

(Lassar, Mittal, & Sharma, 1995) Developed an instrument to measure customer-based brand equity based on four perceptual dimensions such as performance, social image, price/value, trustworthiness and

identification/attachment. To begin with they asked 22 consumers open-ended questions as to why most people prefer a brand name product over unbranded or generic products, following it they generated 83 measurement items which

is screened by 3 marketing professors. These experts provided a content-based screening process by assigning individual items to the construct category they thought the item best indicated. Later administered the item pool to 75 consumers for a set of existing products (one product per respondent) with their brand names specified. They reduced the measurement items from 83 to 17 in three iteration. Tested the scale in two categories. The first is television monitors and the second is watches. In general they found that prices reflected the equity associated with the brand.

(D. A. Aaker, 1996)The article by Aaker,1996 provides a framework by using it we can measure the strength of a brand. It evaluate ten attribute which are grouped into five categories namely loyalty, perceived quality, associations, awareness, and market behaviour. This model helps the manager to measure the impact of each one on the brand equity. (J. L. Aaker, Aug1997) J. L. Aaker, construct a theoretical framework of the "Big Five" dimensions of brand personality (Sincerity, Excitement, Competence, Sophistication and Ruggedness). To measure the five brand personality dimensions, a reliable, valid and generalizable measurement scale is created. Finally theoretical and practical implications regarding the symbolic use of brands are discussed.

(Boonghee Yoo, Naveen Donthu, & Sungho Lee, April 2000) Explores the relationships between selected marketing mix elements and brand equity. They proposed a conceptual framework which states the relationship between marketing elements and brand equity dimensions. Used structural equation model support their

research hypotheses. Outcome of the study is frequent price

promotions, such as price deals, are related to low brand equity, whereas high advertising spending, high price, good store image, and high distribution intensity are related to high brand equity. (Yoo & Donthu, 2001) A multistep study is developed to validate multidimensional consumer-based brand equity scale (MBE) from and Keller's drawn Aaker's conceptualizations of brand equity. A total of 1530 American, Korean American, and Korean participants evaluated 12 brands from three product categories (athletic shoes, film for cameras, and color television sets). Multistep psychometric tests demonstrate that the new brand equity scale is reliable, valid, parsimonious, and generalizable across several cultures and product categories. The authors discuss theoretical and practical implications of the study.

(Ailawad, Lehmann, & Neslin, 0ct2003) The authors recommend that the revenue premium a brand generates is a simple, objective, and managerially useful product-market measure of brand equity. A conceptual basis for measuring & computing brand equity for brands in several packaged goods categories is developed and tested its validity. Results reflect real changes in brand health over time. It correlates well with other equity measures, and the measure's association with a brand's advertising and promotion activity, price sensitivity, and perceived category risk is consistent with theory.

(Netemeyer et al. 2004) Presents four studies that develop measures of "core/primary" facets of customer- based brand equity (CBBE). Drawing from various CBBE frameworks, the facets chosen are perceived quality (PQ), perceived value for the cost (PVC), uniqueness, and the willingness to pay a

price premium for a brand. Using numerous advocated scale developmental procedures, the measures of these facets showed evidence of internal consistency and validity over 16 different brands in six product categories. Results also suggest that PQ, PVC, and brand uniqueness are potential direct antecedents of the willingness to pay a price premium for a brand, and that willingness to pay a price premium is a potential direct antecedent of brand purchase behavior.

(Atilgan, Aksoy, & Akinci, 2005) this article examine the practicality and application of a customer-based brand

equity model, based on Aaker's brand equity framework. Authors used structural equation modelling to analyse the cause & effect relationships between brand equity dimensions & brand equity itself. Respondents for the study were Turkey university students. Outcome is brand loyalty is most dominant dimension & least dominant is brand awareness and perceived quality. (Chattopadhyay, Shivani, & Krishnan, 2008) Reviews the various approaches to define and Measure Brand Equity. Paper justifies the interest of establishing a formal system to measure CRM performance. Analyzed attributes of Brand equity measurement. Classified measures of brand equity into three categories one set of measures focus on outcome of Brand Equity at the product market level, the second category related to customer mindset and the third set is based on measurement of financial parameters. It analyse the merits and limitations of the different types of measures.

(Afsar, Rehman, Qureshi, & Shahjehan, 2010) The study investigates the determinants of brand loyalty. Data analysis is done by collecting response

from 316 respondents. The study infers that Perceived quality, satisfaction, trust, switching cost and commitment each individually as well as jointly influence the loyalty of the customers.

(Anderson, spring2011) Anderson says that brand is a perpetual firm asset. Brand equity is defined as the financial value that a firm derives from customer response to the marketing of a brand. So brand perpetual value is developed as a financial measure of brand equity, where brand perpetual value is calculated as the value of perpetuity.

(Saleem & Abideen, 2011) This paper interrogate the relationship between independent variables which is environmental response and emotional response with attitudinal and behavioural aspect of consumer buying behaviour, by tapping the responses of 200 respondents using telecommunication services from Rawalpindi, Islamabad, and Lahore (cities of Pakistan). The major findings of the study demonstrate an overall normal association between the variables but in-depth analysis found that emotional response of consumer purchase behavior is the variable that results into strong association with the consumer buying behavior. It is true that people purchase those brands with which they are emotionally attached.

(Chu, Lee, & Chao, 2012) Author proposed a model to examine the relationships between service quality, customer satisfaction in, customer trust of, & loyalty to Taiwanese e-banks. 442 respondents who had experience with E- Banking were included in the study & data analysed using partial least squares structural equation modelling. It is found that e-banks must focus on service quality to increase customer satisfaction & trust to obtain customer loyalty.

(Abad, Ghadir, & Hossein, 2013) Aimed to conceptualize the customer based brand equity in the financial service sector with respect to its effect on perception of brand. Sample of 384 customers taken to test the relationship which is depicted in the proposed model of the study. Outcome of the study is perceived quality, brand loyalty, brand awareness and brand association are influential criteria of brand equity that enhances perception of brand in financial service sector. Brand association have most influence than other elements on brand equity. (Loureiro, 2013) Examines the interrelationships of trust, brand awareness/associations, perceived quality and brand loyalty in building Internet banking brand equity. The respondents were online banking user and data analysed using partial least squares (PLS) which employs a component-based approach for purposes of estimation and can readily handle formative factors. The results suggest that perceived quality and brand loyalty are more important to explain the Internet banking brand equity than brand awareness/associations and trust. Trust contributes only indirectly, through perceived quality and brand awareness/association to Internet banking brand equity. Online perceived benefits impact positively on customers trust and online perceived risks tend to be lower when trust increase. (Moghaddam, 2014) Study Pays attention to the role of quality of services in promotion of brand equity and ultimately customer's preference to the company's brand. 397 individuals were selected from the customers of the 5 elite banks of Tehran. For data analysis, the structural equation modelling (SEM), and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA)

and the software packages of SPSS and LISREL were used. The results indicate that the factors of services quality and perceived value have significant impact on brand equity and brand equity has a significant impact on brand preference and purchase intention and finally, brand

preference has a significant impact on customers' purchase intention.

(Sirisha, 2014) The study analyse the literatures related to brand equity & understand the different dimensions of the customer-based brand equity has referred by different researchers over a period. Develop a conceptual framework for measuring customer based brand equity.

(Dib & Alhaddad, 2014) Author analyzed hierarchical relationship between the brand equity dimensions such as brand awareness, brand trust, perceived quality and brand loyalty from the student perspective. 369 students from the higher institute of business administration (HIBA) were analysed using structural equations. The outcome is perceived quality does not have a significant influence on both brand trust and brand equity and on other hand, the rest of relationship between brand equity dimensions and brand equity is confirmed.

(Far, Rezaei, & Sadat, August 2015) Aimed at investigating affective factors (service experience, brand affinity and customer satisfaction) on building brand equity in banking industry of Iran. Research conducted taking 220 samples & using structural Equation Modelling. Service experience, brand affinity as the independent variables, and customer satisfaction as mediator variable, had an effect on building brand equity. (D. S. Arora & Naagar, April 2016) Study is about those factors which determine the strong customer based

brand equity in the banking industry. A structured questionnaire is used to collect data from 120 respondents from selected Public Sector banks and Private Sector banks. The results produced six factors i.e. Brand investments, Brand performance, Brand salience, Brand verdict, Brand feelings and Brand unfamiliarity accounted for 73 percent variance. The findings revealed that out of the six factors extracted from the study, Brand verdict emerged as the most significant factor that leads to the determination of customer based brand equity.

(Rahi, Ghani, & Alnaser, 2017) Objective of the research is to measure the E-customer service which is the core dimension of e-service quality with internet banking adoption and Brand loyalty of Banks. In addition to this Customer perceived value has also been explored within internet banking context. A self-administered survey is conducted to approach 500 internet banking users in major cities of Pakistan. The data is analyzed through correlation and structural equation model (SEM) by using AMOS. Findings reveal that e- customer service and

perceived value significantly influence on internet banking adoption and brand loyalty of Banks.

(Chekalina, Fuchs, & Lexhagen, 2018) author applied CBBE concept to tourism destination. Study considered five dependent constructs and destination resources, value in use & value for money.

(Algharabat, Rana, Alalwan, Baabdullah, & Gupta, 2019) authors analysed attributes of CBE such as consumer involvement, consumer participation and self-expressive brand and its association between the determinants of CBBE namely brand

loyalty, brand awareness, perceived quality. (Iglesias, Markovic, & Rialp, 2019) study investigate how employee empathy effect sensory brand experience on brand equity through customer satisfaction and customer affective commitment.

Research overview on Brand Equity & its determinants

Keller (1993) Lassar, Mittal, & Sharma, 1995)	The space your brand occupies in customers mind Prices reflect the equity associated with the brand.	Brand salience Brand meaning Brand response Brand relationship Performance social image price/value trustworthiness and identification/att achment
Aaker (1996)	Brand Equity is differential value added to both firm & customer	Brand loyalty Brand name awareness Brand associations Perceived quality Other proprietary brand assets
(Boonghe e Yoo, Naveen Donthu, & Sungho Lee, April 2000)	Explores the relationship s between selected marketing mix elements and brand equity.	Frequent price promotions, such as price deals, are related to low brand equity, whereas high advertising spending, high price, good store image, and high distribution

	2	intensity are
		related to high
_		brand equity.
(Yoo &	Developed	
Donthu,	multidimens	
2001)	ional	
	consumer-	
	based brand	
	equity scale	
	(MBE)	
	drawn from	
	both Aaker's	
	and Keller's	
	conceptualiz	
	ations of	
	brand equity	
(Ailawad	Therevenue	
et al.,	premium a	
0ct2003)	brand	
	generates is	
	a simple,	
	objective,	
	and	
	manageriall	
	y useful product-	
	market	
	measure of	
	brand equity	
(Netemey	Willingness	perceived
er et al.	to pay a	quality (PQ),
2004)	price pay	perceived value
	premium for	
	a brand	(PVC),
		uniqueness, and
		the willingness
		to pay a price
		premium for a
	V	brand
(Chattopa	Establish	Product/Market
dhyay et	Brand	Customer
al., 2008)	equity	Financial
	measuremen	
	t based on 3	
	aspects such	
ų.	as product	

	market	
	level,	
	customer	
	level &	
	financial	
	measures	
(Afsar et	Brand	Perceived
al., 2010)	equity in	quality
ai., 2010)	terms of	satisfaction
	brand	trust
	loyalty	
	loyalty	switching cost
- A 1	T: · 1	and commitment
(Anderson	Financial	brand perpetual
, , , , , ,	value that a	value is
spring201	firm derives	calculated as the
1)	from	value of
	customer	perpetuity
	response to	
	the	
	marketing	
	of a brand	
(Saleem &	Brand	
Abideen,	equity	
2011)	analyzed	
	using	
	environment	
	al response	
	and	
	emotional	
	response	
	with	
	attitudinal	
	and	
	behavioral	
	aspect of	
	consumer	
	buying	
	behavior	
(D. S.		Brand
Arora &		investments,
Naagar,		Brand
April		performance,
2016).		Brand salience,
2020).		Brand verdict,
		Brand feelings
		and reenings
•		and

		Brand
		unfamiliarity
(Iglesias,	Employee	Sensory Brand
Markovic,	empathy	experience
& Rialp,	effect	Customer
2019	sensory	satisfaction
	brand	Customer
	experience	Affective
	on brand	Commitment
	equity	
	through	
	customer	
	satisfaction	
	and	
	customer	
	affective	
	commitment	
(Algharab	Attribut	consumer
at, Rana,	es of	involvement,
Alalwan,	CBE	consumer
Baabdulla	and its	participation
h, &	associati	self-expressive
Gupta,	on	brand
2019)	between	brand loyalty,
	the	brand
	determin	awareness,
	ants of	Perceived
	CBBE	quality.
	namely	

VI Conclusion

Branding is most stimulating topics among both academicians & industry, since its introduction till today lot of research is done on this marketing concept. Even in a in the market and what has to be done to command high equity in the market. Conceptual study provides better insights about brand equity models & helps to identify various brand equity determinants & their relationship. Also it provides necessary framework to construct our own model & test their hypothesis in our market. It is also noticed that conceptualization of brand equity model developed by both Aaker & Keller holds good even today irrespective of the product /service & markets with little variation in the attributes of brand equity element.

VIII. REFERENCES

- [1]. Aaker, D.A. (1996). Measuring Brand Equity across Products and Markets. California Management Review, 38(3), 102–120.
- [2]. Aaker, J. L. (Aug1997). Dimensions of brand personality. JMR, Journal of Marketing Research, 34(3), 347–356.
- [3]. Abad, J., Ghadir, S., & Hossein, J. S. (2013). Conceptualization of customer based brand equity in financial service sector. Studies In Business & Economics, 8(1). Retrieved from http://eccsf.ulbsibiu.ro/RePEc/blg/journl/8113sh akiba&jalali.pdf
- [4]. Afsar, B., Rehman, Z. U., Qureshi, J. A., & Shahjehan, A. (2010). Determinants of customer loyalty in the banking sector: The case of Pakistan. African Journal of Business Management, 4(6), 1040.
- [5]. Ailawad, K. L., Lehmann, D. R., & Neslin, S. A. (0ct2003). Revenue Premium as an Outcome Measure of Brand Equity. Journal of Marketing, 67, 1–17.
- [6]. Algharabat, R., Rana, N. P., Alalwan, A. A., Baabdullah, A., & Gupta, A. (2019). Investigating the antecedents of customer brand engagement and consumer-based brand equity in social media. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, S0969698918308816. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019
- [7]. Anderson, J. (spring2011). MEASURING THE FINANCIAL VALUE OF BRAND EQUITY: THE PERPETUITY PERSPECTIVE. Journal of Business Administration Online, 10(1), 1–11.
- [8]. Arora, Dr. S., & Naagar, N. (APRIL2016). CUSTOMER BASED BRAND EQUITY: A factor analytical approach. DIAS TECHNOLOGY REVIEW, 13(1), 27–36.
- [9]. Arora, S., & Chaudhary, N. (2016). Impact of Brand Value on Financial Performance of Banks: An Empirical Study on Indian Banks. Universal Journal of Industrial and Business Management, 4(3), 88–96.

- [10]. Atilgan, E., Aksoy, S., & Akinci, S. (2005). Determinants of the brand equity A verification approach in the beverage industry in Turkey. Marketing Intelligence & Planning, 23(3), 237–248. https://doi.org/10.1108/02634500510597283
- [11]. Boonghee Yoo, Naveen Donthu, & Sungho Lee. (2000). An Examination of Selected Marketing Mix Elements and Brand Equity. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science., 28(2), 195–211. https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070300282 002
- [12]. Chattopadhyay, T., Shivani, S., & Krishnan, M. (2008). Approaches to measurement of brand equity. Proceedings of Oxford Business and Economics Conference, Oxford, UK, 1–34. Retrieved from http://www.theglobaljournals.gcbe.us/20 08_OBEC/data/Tanmay%20Chattopadh yay,%20Shradha%20Shivani,%20Mahe sh%20Krishnan.doc
- [13]. Chekalina, T., Fuchs, M., & Lexhagen, M. (2018). Customer-Based Destination Brand Equity Modeling: The Role of Destination Resources, Value for Money, and Value in Use. Journal of Travel Research, 57(1), 31–51. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047287516680 774
- [14]. Chu, P.-Y., Lee, G.-Y., & Chao, Y. (2012).

 SERVICE QUALITY, CUSTOMER

 SATISFACTION, CUSTOMER TRUST, AND
 LOYALTY IN AN E-
- [15]. BANKING CONTEXT. Social Behavior and Personality, 40(8), 1271–1284. http://dx.doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2012.40.8. 1271
- [16]. Dib, H., & Alhaddad, A. (2014). The hierarchical relationship between brand equity dimensions. European Scientific Journal, ESJ, 10(28). Retrieved from http://www.eujournal.org/index.php/esj/article/view/4394
- [17]. Far, E., Rezaei, B. and, & Sadat, L. (AUGUST2015). Investigating the affective factors on building brand equity in banking Industry of Iran (Case study: Tosee Saderat

- Bank). Research Journal of Management Sciences, 4(8), 1–5.
- [18]. Gautam, V., & Kumar, M. (2012). An Empirical Investigation to Analyze the Brand Equity and Resonance of Banking Services: Evidence from India. Management (18544223), 7(1).
- [19]. Iglesias, O., Markovic, S., & Rialp, J. (2019). How does sensory brand experience influence brand equity? Considering the roles of customer satisfaction, customer affective commitment, and employee empathy. Journal of Business Research, 96, 343–354.
- [20]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.05.043
- [21]. Jamal Omidi, A., Ali Afshar Kazemi, M., Setaiesh, S., Reza Mirabi, V., & Jamshidi, M. (2014). A model for brand equity determination using structural equations modeling. European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences: Proceedings, 2(3 (s)), pp–1181.
- [22]. keller Kevin Lane. (jan1993). Conceptualizing_measuring_managing_ cbbe. Journal of Marketing, 57(1), 1.
- [23]. Lassar, W., Mittal, B., & Sharma, A. (1995). Measuring customer-based brand equity. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 12(4), 11–19. https://doi.org/10.1108/0736376951009 5270
- [24]. Loureiro, S. M. C. (2013). THE EFFECT OF PERCEIVED BENEFITS, TRUST, QUALITY, BRAND
- [25]. AWARENESS/ASSOCIATIONS AND BRAND LOYALTY ON INTERNET BANKING BRAND EQUITY.
- [26]. International Journal of Electronic Commerce Studies, 4(2), 139–158. https://doi.org/10.7903/ijecs.1000
- [27]. Moghaddam, A. K. (2014). Evaluation of banks services quality and its impact on creating brand preference and customers purchase intention. ITMAR, 1, 765–780.
- [28]. Netemeyer, R. G., Krishnan, B., Pullig, C., Wang, G., Yagci, M., Dean, D., ... Wirth, F. (2004). Developing and validating measures of facets of customer-based brand equity. Journal of

- Business Research, 57(2), 209–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(01)00303-4
- [29]. Rahi, S., Ghani, M. A., & Alnaser, F. M. (2017). The Influence of E-Customer Services and Perceived Value on Brand Loyalty of Banks and Internet Banking Adoption: A Structural Equation Model (SEM). The Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce, 22(1), 1–18.
- [30]. Raza, M., Salleh, S., Tariq, B., Altayyar, R. S., & Shaari, H. (2020). Investigating the effects of customer-based brand equity on turnover intentions with mediating effect of customer citizenship behavior. Management Science Letters, 279–286. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.9.004
- [31]. Saleem, S., & Abideen, Z. (2011). Effective advertising and its influence on consumer buying behavior. European Journal of Business and Management, 3(3). Retrieved from http://www.academia.edu/download/441 13841/55-65_Vol_3 No_3_2011.pdf
- [32]. SIRISHA, J. S. (2014). CUSTOMER BASED BRAND EQUITY: A LITERATURE REVIEW. JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL ACADEMIC RESEARCH FOR MULTIDISCIPLINARY, 2(3), 277–289.
- [33]. Tülin, E., & Joffre, S. (2016). The Information-Economics Perspective on Brand Equity. Foundations and Trends® in Marketing, 10(1), 1–59. https://doi.org/10.1561/1700000041
- [34]. YOO, B., & DONTHU, N. (2001). Developing and validating a multidimensional consumerbased brand equity scale. Journal of Business Research, 52, 1–14.