doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/CSEIT206552

Using Machine Learning Analytics to Detect Abnormalities and Electricity Theft

Sowrav Saha^a, Utsho Chakraborty^b, Haimanti Biswas^c, Md. Intekhab Rahman Galib^d, Dr. Sheshang Degadwala^e

^aUG Scholar, Civil & Infrastructure Engineering, Adani Institute of Infrastructure Engineering, Ahmedabad, India ^bUG Scholar, Computer Engineering, Sarvajanik College of Engineering and Technology, Surat, India

^cUG Scholar, Information Technology, Sigma Institute of Engineering, Vadodara, India

^dUG Scholar, BBA, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad, India

^eAssociate Professor and Head of Department, Computer Engineering Department, Sigma Institute of Engineering, Vadodara, India

ABSTRACT

Article Info Volume 6, Issue 5 Page Number: 271-279 Publication Issue : September-October-2020

Article History

Accepted : 15 Oct 2020 Published : 23 Oct 2020

Abnormalities and Electricity Theft are a major concern for power and economic chaos of one's country. The reason here is, the fraudulent usage of electricity power by customers and broken electric meters or billing errors. Currently, electrical transmission and distribution losses remain a hurdle to the development and sustainability of the sector despite several techniques of energy conservation and electricity distribution analysis that have been employed. While technical failures are regular and predictable, non-technical losses, which are responsible for 80% energy losses, are random and hard to identify and evaluate. Hence it requires more advanced technology. For these reasons, the problem has attracted research interests in many fields, including artificial intelligence, including machine learning and expert knowledge approaches. Here, we have used a linear regression method for anomaly detection. The project therefore showed that the method has improved detection accuracy, sensitivity and reduced magnitude of data required. Keywords : Abnormalities, Electricity Theft, Fraudulent, Sustainability, Energy Conservation, Magnitude

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past fifty years, electricity has become an integral part of our lives. In fact, having electricity is increasingly becoming a basic need for citizens in both developed and developing countries; in any case, electricity connection is as basic as access to water and sanitation. While countries continue to invest heavily in the national grid, not all power generated translates to revenue for the utility companies (Depuru, 2012; Depuru Wang, & Devabhaktuni, 2010). Some of the energy generated is lost through transmission and distribution. Since 1998, the average global electric power transmission and distribution losses as a share of total output have increased from 7.1% in 1998 to 8.251% in 2014 (Nagi et al., 2009; Kumar, Prasad, & Samikannu, 2017; Yakubu, Babu, & Adjei, 2018). However, the peak losses happened in 2002 where a total 8.9% of all electricity produced globally was lost at some point during transmission and distribution as shown in Figure 1. However, these losses are high in the least developed countries where 16% of power

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Technoscience Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

output is lost during transmission and distribution to customers.

Consequently, utility companies lose more than \$90 billion every year to electricity losses. Surprisingly, Gaur and Gupta (2016) and Smith (2004) notes that more than 90% of the losses arising from non-technical losses. Non-technical arises mainly from the theft of electricity in form of bypassing meters, tampering with the meters, or connecting directly to the supply lines in low-income settlements where power inspectors may fear enforcement due to security risks.



Source: World Bank Figure 1: Electric Power Losses as a Percentage of Output

Smart meters and artificial intelligence, however, eliminates the need for constant manual investigation on potential cases of power theft when data lacks to substantiate such claims. They are an effort by utility companies to provide a mean of collecting consumption data more frequently (Gaur & Gupta, 2017; Yurtseven, 2015; Never, 2015). With the help of smart meters, a utility company can collect data that is relayed to servers with the help of mobile networks or fiber-optic network in modern grids. A large amount of data would be meaningless without the application of advanced data mining and analytics techniques (Jamil, 2013; Jamil & Azmad, 2019). Therefore, artificial intelligence such as machine learning has been proposed in the existing literature as crucial in analyzing patterns and periodicity of data collected by smart meters and help stop electricity thieves at their path.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Electricity Theft and Detection

Electricity theft has remained an important contemporary issue in electric power transmission and distribution since the early 1990s. Due to the disproportionate share of non-technical losses as a share of overall power system losses, the area of nontechnical losses has attracted extensive literature (Dangar & Joshi, 2014; Han & Xiao, 2017; Mashina & Cardenas, 2012; Uparela et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2012). Mashina and Cardenas (2012) focused on evaluating the efficacy of theft detectors in smart grids. Notable in research is the reliance on tamper-proof smart meters by electricity utility companies as the main theft detector in smart grid networks. While such meters are effective in warding off less savvy thieves, they are less effective in preventing the majority of the thefts, which happens when illegal connections bypass the meter (Uparela et al., 2018). Given the weakness of tamper-proof meters in fighting non-technical losses that arise from bypassing of the meter, Uparela et al. (2018) proposes an intelligent system with three building blocks; first module classifies users depending on their power usage and uses; the second module uses ARIMA models to predict future consumptions; the last block uses machine learning to detect fraud. While the model is compelling, the high cost of implementing machine learning solution to electricity theft is highlighted by Shekara & Depuru (2012). Notable hindrance is the lack of specialized skills in machine learning in power systems (Shekara & Depuru, 2012) and high costs of implementing such as solution (Han & Xiao, 2017). While underscoring the value of machine learning in curbing electricity theft, Nabil et al. (2019) decry the lack of privacy in collecting and transmission of electricity consumption data in modern advanced metering infrastructure

(AMI) networks. Electricity theft did not only occur in the instances where the power utilities or their representatives were not aware of. Rather, some of the officers of utility companies take part in the theft of economic gains in forms of bribes from customers (Micheli et al., 2018). Current smart meter methods of detecting fraud fail to account for these occurrences where its employees may collude with customers to aid in electricity theft (Nabil et al., 2019). Along this line, Nabil et al. (2019) propose a Privacy-Preserving Electricity Theft Detection Scheme (PPETD) which leverages convolutional network model to minimize human intervention in smart meters, hence possible fraud by trusted employees.

III.Related Works

```
In [14]: import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as seabornInstance
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split from sklearn.linear_model import
LinearRegression from sklearn import metrics %matplotlib inline
rcParams['figure.figsize'] = 14, 8
RANDOM_SEED = 42
LABELS = ["Normal", "Fraud"] In [2]:
```

revised-CSV.csv") data.head(5) Out[2]:

1/5/2014	1/4/2014	1/3/2014	1/2/2014	1/1/2014	FLAG	CONS_NO
NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	1.0	0387DD8A07E07FDA6271170F86AD9151
NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	1.0	01D6177B5D4FFE0CABA9EF17DAFC2B84
NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	1.0	4B75AC4F2D8434CFF62DB64D0BB43103
NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	1.0	B32AC8CC6D5D805AC053557AB05F5343
3.61	3.32	6.99	5.64	2.9	1.0	EDFC78B07BA2908B3395C4EB2304665E

5 rows × 1037 columns

In [3]: data_info()

<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 42372 entries, 0 to 42371 Columns: 1037 entries, CONS_NO to Amount dtypes: float64(1036), object(1) memory usage: 335.2+ MB

In [Out	[4]: ·[4]·	data	descr	ibe(include = "all")							
Out[1].		CONS_NO				FLAG		1/1/2014	1/2/2014		
		count	423	71		42371.0	00000	25870.000000	25873.000	0000 2587	-
		uniqu	e 423	71		NaN		NaN	NaN		
		top 71	DF7CAI	EB89C5ACCE3D0FB10C612D44	DF	NaN		NaN	NaN		
		freq	1			NaN		NaN	NaN		
		mean	NaN	I		0.08531	8	7.168735	7.057237		
		std	NaN	I		0.279357 34.131237		34.131237	30.086443 3		
		min	NaN	I		0.00000	0	0.000000	0.000000		
		25%	NaN	I		0.000000 0.000000		0.000000	0.000000		
		50%	NaN	I		0.00000	0	3.310000	3.400000		
		75%	NaN	I		0.00000	0	8.910000	8.570000		
		max	NaN	1		1.00000	0	3318.000000	2500.0000	000 267	
11 rov	ws × 1037	7 columns	5								
In [6	6]:	data.re	ep∎ace	("?", np.nan, inplace =	True						
In [miss	[9]: sing_data	missing a.head()	_data	= data.isnu11()							
CON	S_NO FLA	G 1/1/2014	1/2/2014		1/3	3/2014	1/4/2014	4 1/5/2014	1/6/2014	1/7/2014	1/8/2014
0	False	False	True	True	Tr	ue	True	True	True	True	True
1	False	False	True	True	Tr	ue	True	True	True	True	True
2	False	False	True	True	Tr	ue	True	True	True	True	True
3	False	False	True	True	Tr	ue	True	True	True	True	True
4	False	False	False	False	Fa	lse	False	False	False	False	False
5 row	s x 1037	columns									

-4

In [10]: for column in missing_data.columns.values.tolist():
print(column)
print (missing_data[column].value_counts()) print("")

CONS_NO False 42371 True 1 Name: CONS_NO, dtype: int64 FLAG False 42371 True 1 Name: FLAG, dtype: int64

1/1/2014 False True dtype: int64

- Name: 1/1/2014, 1/2/2014 False True dtype: int64
- Name: 1/2/2014, 1/3/2014 False True

In []: data.fillna(0)

```
In [8]: count_classes = pd.value_counts(data['FLAG'], sort = True)
count_classes.plot(kind = 'bar', rot=0) plt.title("Consumption FLAG Distribution")
plt.xticks(range(2), LABELS) plt.xlabel("FLAG")
plt.ylabel("Frequency")
Out[8]: Text(0, 0.5, 'Frequency')
In [11]: fraud = data[data['FLAG']==1]
```

1

normal = data[data['FLAG']==0]

In [12]: print(fraud_shape,normal_shape)

```
(3615, 1037) (38756, 1037)
```



In [21]: X = data['Amount'].values.reshape(-1,1)

```
y = data['FLAG'].values.reshape(-1,1)
```

```
In [53]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.3, rando
```

m_state=0)

In [54]: regressor = LinearRegression()
regressor.fit(X_train, y_train) #training the algorithm
Out[54]: LinearRegression(copy_X=True, fit_intercept=True, n_jobs=None,
normalize=False)
In [55]: #To retrieve the intercept:
print(regressor.intercept_)
#For retrieving the slope:
print(regressor.coef_)

[0.07576006] [[1.37360556e-06]] In [56]: #To retrieve the intercept: print(regressor_intercept_) #For retrieving the slope: print(regressor_coef_)

[0.07576006] [[1.37360556e-06]]

IV.Proposed System

Efficient and comprehensive electricity management techniques are crucial for scientific developments. However, non - technical electrical losses remain a conservation challenge that many scholars have tried to solve for decades but have not been fully implemented. Some of such developments include artificial intelligence approaches such as rule- based expert systems and machine learning and state estimation and network analysis methods, among others. Can electricity distribution credibility be improved further using neural network architecture? This project proposes a linear regression using scikit learn to further improve the accuracy of detecting anomalies of electricity. Given the humongous amount of money utilities lose to electricity theft, the area of electricity theft has attracted extensive literary attention. Conventional electricity theft detection and prevention methods such as frequent inspections of meters and illegal connections have been proposed in multiple studies (Depuru, 2012; Depuru Wang, & Devabhaktuni, 2010; Jamil, 2013; Jamil & Azmad,

2019). Others have proposed the deployment of smartgrids where smart meters transmit electricity consumption data to control centers (Cite). Changing the grid, however, is an expensive undertaking for developing countries struggling with resource limitations and huge budget deficits (Jamil & Azmad, 2019). Coming up with innovative and inexpensive solutions to transforming traditional grids into smart grids, which presents cost-effective ways of fighting electricity theft, improving efficiency, and promoting effective load planning, is crucial for electrical utility firms in the developing countries where electricity theft and inefficiency drive up electricity prices.

Aim and objective signifies the major practice of the frameworks through the project. The project uses a machine learning algorithm called Linear Regression using sickit learn. The algorithm will be trained to predict the electricity usage of customer during three years period. By comparing the predicted value with the actual value consumption patterns of customers can be visualized. When there are values suspected from the training of dataset, the utility company, therefore, can filter out individuals whose power Actual Predicted 14802

two actual and predicted values.	
Result Analysis	
In [57]: y_pred = regressor_predict(X_test)	
Out[37]:	14801

consumption patterns differ significantly between the

	3. 0.0	0.076553					
1	1.0	0.077066		14803	0.0	0.	078002
2	0.0	0.079600		14804	0.0	0.	077827
3	0.0	0.082553					
4	0.0	0.087860					
5	0.0	0.084868	14805			0.0	0.076818
6	0.0	0.081590	14806			0.0	0.079722
7	0.0	0.088326	14807			0.0	0.080201
8	1.0	0.083343	14808			0.0	0.101650
9	0.0	0.090207	14809			0.0	0.082490
10	0.0	0.086114	14810			0.0	0.088022
11	0.0	0.080430	14811			0.0	0.079853
12	0.0	0.101879	14812			0.0	0.076868
13	0.0	0.084858	14813			0.0	0.077434
14	0.0	0.077548	14814			0.0	0.084046
15	0.0	0.098423	14815			0.0	0.076867
16	0.0	0.090319	14816			1.0	0.082399
17	0.0	0.091508	14817			1.0	0.079069
18	0.0	0.082809	14818			0.0	0.078960
19	0.0	0.087059	14819			0.0	0.086163
20	0.0	0.077386	14820			0.0	0.081349
21	0.0	0.079228	14821			0.0	0.091451
22	0.0	0.076624	14822			0.0	0.076905
23	0.0	0.078345	14823			0.0	0.084642
24	0.0	0.094601	14824			0.0	0.081785
25	0.0	0.078942	14825			0.0	0.076544
26	0.0	0.088366	14826			0.0	0.078982
27	0.0	0.076547	14827			0.0	0.076545
28	0.0	0.083790	14828			0.0	0.079968

29

0.084277

Predicted

0.081710

0.084540

0.0

Actual

0.0

1.0

14829	0.0	0.099275
14830	1.0	1.436533

14831 rows × 2 columns

In [59]: plt.scatter(X_test, y_test, color='gray') plt.plot(X_test, y_pred, color='red', linewidth=2) plt.show()



Progress and Future Scope

Research shows that machine learning.

The algorithm demonstrated optimum accuracy and data processing speed compared to the conventional methods compared. However, other aspects such as high accuracy of predicting could not be maintained as that of other sophisticated algorithms.

Areas such as convolutional neural network and other artificial intelligence algorithms project will be studies in future for better accuracy and computation speed of massive smart meter dataset.

V. CONCLUSION

It is important that private and government power companies are able to recognize fraudulent electricity consumptions so that customers are not required to pay for the amount of power that they did not use. This is a realistic electricity consumption dataset released by State Grid Corporation of China (http://www.sgcc.com.cn/). This dataset contains the electricity consumption data of 42,372 electricity customers within 1,035 days (from Jan. 1, 2014 to Oct. 31, 2016).

It contains numerical input variables and nonnumerical NaN values or missing values. The column "CONS_NO" contains each customer"s smart meter ID. The feature 'Amount' is the total sum of daily electricity consumption of three years (from Jan. 1, 2014 to Oct. 31, 2016, this feature can be used for example-dependant cost-senstive learning. Feature 'FLAG' is the response variable and it takes value 1 in case of "FRAUD" and 0 otherwise.

This project was done for detection of abnormalities and electricity theft which have been a problem for decades. In this project a commonly known linear regression using sickit learn under machine learning algorithm was applied. Since the dataset has some errors, an estimated amount of 70% dataset was trained. Hence, the result indicates that if the predicted value is 1 as the actual value then the customer electricity consumption is "FRAUD" and if the predicted value is 0 then it is "NORMAL" case. Lastly, if the predicted value is more far from the margins and the customer should be suspected and necessary action should be taken. Therefore, the percentage of accuracy for 70% trained dataset is around 76%, this means that our algorithm for these specific-dataset still make reasonably good predictions.

VI. REFERENCES

- Dahringer, N. (2017). Electricity Theft Detection using Machine Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.05907.
- [2]. Dangar, D., & Joshi, S. K. (2014). Electricity Theft Detection Techniques for Distribution System in GUVNL. In International Journal Of Engineering Development And Research | Ijedr (Two Day National Conference (Rteece-2014)-January 2014).
- [3]. Depuru, S. S. S. R. (2012). Modeling, detection, and prevention of electricity theft for enhanced performance and security of power grid

Doctoral dissertation, University of Toledo, United States).

- [4]. Depuru, S. S. S. R., Wang, L., & Devabhaktuni, V. (2011). Electricity theft: Overview, issues, prevention and a smart meter based approach to control theft. Energy Policy, 39(2), 1007-1015.
- [5]. Gaur, V., & Gupta, E. (2016). The determinants of electricity theft: An empirical analysis of Indian states. Energy Policy, 93, 127-136.
- [6]. Han, W., & Xiao, Y. (2017). NFD: Non-technical loss fraud detection in smart grid. Computers & Security, 65, 187-201.
- [7]. Jamil, F., & Ahmad, E. (2019). Policy considerations for limiting electricity theft in the developing countries. Energy Policy, 129, 452-458.
- [8]. Jamil, F. (2013). On the electricity shortage, price and electricity theft nexus. Energy Policy, 54, 267-272.
- [9]. Mashima, D., & Cárdenas, A. A. (2012, September). Evaluating electricity theft detectors in smart grid networks. In International Workshop on Recent Advances in Intrusion Detection (pp. 210-229). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [10]. Uparela, M. A., Gonzalez, R. D., Jimenez, J. R., & Quintero, C. G. (2018). An intelligent system for non-technical losses management in residential users of the electricity sector. Ingeniería e Investigación, 38(2), 52-60.

Cite this article as :

Saha, Utsho Chakraborty, Haimanti Biswas, Md. Intekhab Rahman Galib, Dr. Sheshang Degadwala, "Using Machine Learning Analytics to Detect Abnormalities and Electricity Theft", International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology (IJSRCSEIT), ISSN : 2456-3307, Volume 6, Issue 5, pp.271-279, September-October-2020. Available at doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/CSEIT206552 Journal URL : http://ijsrcseit.com/CSEIT206552