

A Review on Detection of Malicious Node in Delay Tolerance Network

Farhat Un Nisa¹, Deepinder Kaur²

¹M.Tech. Scholar, Department of CSE, SUSCET Tangori Mohali, Punjab, India

²Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, SUSCET Tangori, Mohali, Punjab, India

Article Info

Volume 8, Issue 1

Page Number : 25-27

Publication Issue :

January-February-2022

Article History

Accepted : 01 Jan 2022

Published : 05 Jan 2022

ABSTRACT

TODAY, The use of a wireless network enables enterprises to avoid the costly process of introducing cables into buildings or as a connection between different equipment locations. The basis of wireless systems are radio waves, an implementation that takes place at the physical level of network structure. Wireless network is installations a method by which homes, telecommunications networks and enterprise (business) avoid the costly process of introducing cables into a building, or as a connection between various equipment locations. Delay tolerant networks (DTNs), such as sensor networks with scheduled intermittent connectivity, vehicular DTNs that disseminate location-dependent information, and pocket-switched networks that allow humans to communicate without network infrastructure, are highly partitioned networks that may suffer from frequent disconnectivity. In DTNs, the in-transit messages, also named bundles, can be sent over an existing link and buffered at the next hop until the next link in the path appears. This message propagation process is usually referred to as the “store-carry-and-forward” strategy, and the routing is decided in an “opportunistic” fashion. We aim to evaluate the added effect of the presence of malicious nodes on ad hoc network performance, and determine appropriate measures to detect malicious nodes. A malicious node advertising itself as having a valid route to the destination. With this intension the attacker consumes or intercepts the packet without any forwarding. An attacker can completely modify the packet and generate fake information, this cause the network traffic diverted or dropped. Let H be a malicious node. When H receives a Route Request, it sends back a Route Reply immediately, which constructs the data and can be transmitted by itself with the shortest path. So S receives Route Reply and it is replaced by H->S. then H receives all the data from S. In this research we propose a new assesment based scheme for detection of Malicious Nodes in DTN. And examine different strategies for prevention to malicious nodes as well as Compare out come proposed scheme with the earliest established schemes.

Keywords : Delay tolerant networks, store-carry-and-forward

I. INTRODUCTION

BEHAVIOUR OF MALICIOUS NODE

If malicious nodes are present in a DTN, they may attempt to reduce network connectivity (and thereby undermine the network's security) by pretending to be cooperative but in effect dropping any data they are meant to pass on. These actions may result in defragmented networks, isolated nodes, and drastically reduced network performance. We aim to evaluate the added effect of the presence of malicious nodes on ad hoc network performance, and determine appropriate measures to detect malicious nodes. A malicious node advertising itself as having a valid route to the destination. With this intention the attacker consumes or intercepts the packet without any forwarding. An attacker can completely modify the packet and generate fake information, this cause the network traffic diverted or dropped. Let H be a malicious node. When H receives a Route Request, it sends back a Route Reply immediately, which constructs the data and can be transmitted by itself with the shortest path. So S receives Route Reply and it is replaced by H->S. then H receives all the data from S. **“When a node breaches any of the security principles and is therefore under any attack. Such nodes exhibit one or more of the following behavior:**

Packet Drop:- Simply consumes or drops the packet and does not forward it.

Battery Drained:- A malicious node can waste the battery by performing unnecessarily operations.

Buffer Overflow:- A node under attack can fill the buffer with fake updates so that genuine updates cannot be stored further.

Bandwidth Consumption:- Whenever a malicious node consumes the bandwidth so that no other legitimate node can use it.

Malicious Node Entering:- A malicious node can enter in the network without authentication.

Stale Packets:- This means to inject stale packets into the network to create confusion in the network.

Delay:- Any malicious node can purposely delay the packet forward to it.

Link Break- This can result in restricting the two legitimate nodes from communicating if the malicious node is between them.

Message Tampering:- A malicious node can tamper the content of the packets. Denying from

Sending Message:- Any malicious node may deny from sending messages to other legitimate nodes.

Fake Routing:- Whether there exists a path between nodes or not, a malicious node can send fake routes to the legitimate nodes in order to get the packets or to disturb the operations.

Node Not Available:- An intruder can isolate the node from taking part in any operation so as to create delays when the source node chooses another alternative path.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

M. Balaganesh ,P. Sathiya Proposed The survey tries to review the various problems and their solution in Delay Tolerant Network (DTN) while routing the packets. In this paper, going to discuss and see the overview of various methods used in the DTN. They are simbet and bubble rap which are the DTN routing algorithm and is used for identify the bridge nodes using between ness centrality and similarity metrics in the network.

Prerana S.Jagadale, Prashant Jawalkar says that Delay/ Disruption Tolerant Networking (DTN) program is an emerging technology that can facilitate access to information when secure end-to-end paths cannot exist. Disruption tolerant network is a different type of wireless network. It is an intermittently connected mobile network. Delay tolerant network adopts a store carry-and forward mechanism, of which all the participants are assumed to cooperate with one another in message delivery, to overcome the challenges of the intermittent connection and the time-varying network topology.

Yanzhi Ren, MooiChoo Chuah said that, The Disruption Tolerant Networks (DTNs) are vulnerable to insider attacks, in which the legitimate nodes are compromised and the adversary modifies the delivery metrics of the node to launch harmful attacks in the networks. The traditional detection approaches of secure routing protocols cannot address such kind of insider attacks in DTNs. In this paper, we propose a mutual correlation detection scheme (MUTON) for addressing these insider attacks.

aser khamayseh, Ruba Al-Salah, Muneer Bani Yassein says that The increased popularity and usage of wireless technologies has oYpened the doors for new emerging applications in the domain of networking. One emerging and promising areas is the domain of Mobile Ad Hoc Networks (DTNs). A mobile ad hoc network is a collection of wireless mobile nodes that form a dynamic network without the need for infrastructure or centralized points. The dynamic nature of ad hoc networks presents many security challenges. Secure routing is a promising area for achieving better security for the network by protecting the routing protocols against malicious attacks. Several secure routing protocols have been proposed in the literatures that were successful in avoiding and preventing some types of security attacks in DTNs. However, DTNs are still vulnerable to other types of attacks.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND OBJECTIVES

OBJECTIVES:-

The main objective of the present work can be stated as –

- To study the different Parameter and Environment of DTN.
- To examine different strategies for prevention to malicious nodes in DTN.
- To propose a new assessment based scheme for detection of Malicious Nodes in DTN.

- Compare the outcome proposed scheme with the existing schemes of malicious node detection.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTUTRE SCOPE

- The Conclusion for this Scenario is no. of nodes will taken to ccompare Performance of malicious is poor as Comparison to default Max pro Routing.
- In terms of Performance Metrics like as Packet Delivery , delivery probability And overhead ratio are observe for the Max pro routing.
- Second scenario waiting time will also for various No. of nodes. In this scenario packet delivery of Max pro routing is higher and the overhead ratio of malicious behavior is high. Higher overhead ratio shows that the performance of malicious behavior is poor.
- Scenario for various node degree at starting, the node degree is zero ,which starting ,the node degree is zero ,which define that all nodes are trusted and the performance good at that time, when the node degree is increase the performance decreases in term of delivery ratio, delivery probability and overhead ratio at the end of simulation the node are malicious behavior ,so at that time the performance is goes to zero .

So, the final conclusion is that overhead performance of Malicious is poor as comparison Max pro routing.

V. REFERENCES

- [1] <https://www.techopedia.com/definition/26186/wireless-network>.
- [2] <http://searchnetworking.techtarget.com/feature/Introduction-to-wireless-networks-from-The-book-of-wireless>

- [3] <https://www.techopedia.com/definition/26186/wireless-network>
- [4] <http://computernetworkingnotes.com/wireless-networking-on-cisco-router/types-of-wireless-networks.html>
- [5] <http://searchnetworking.techtarget.com/definition/disruption-tolerant-network>
- [6] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/History_of_delay-tolerant_networking
- [7] <http://cse.unl.edu/~wsun/DTNapp.pdf>
- [8] <https://www.techopedia.com/definition/25927/routing-protocol>
- [9] <http://www.ijcaonline.org/journal/number17/pxc387557.pdf>
- [10] <http://www.ijcaonline.org/volume20/number4/pxc3873251.pdf>

Cite this article as :

Farhat Un Nisa, Deepinder Kaur, "A Review on Detection of Malicious Node in Delay Tolerance Network", International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology (IJSRCSEIT), ISSN : 2456-3307, Volume 8 Issue 1, pp. 25-27, January-February 2022. Journal URL : <https://ijsrcseit.com/CSEIT2176110>