

A Comparative Study of Routing Protocols for Minimum Path Cost Matric in MANETS

Shamimul Qamar¹, Mohammed Inamur Rahman², Abdulilah G.F Saif³, Abdul Azeem⁴

^{1,2,3}Department of Computer Science & Engineering, College of Sciences & Arts, Dhahran Al Janub King Khalid University, Abha, Dharan Al Janub Campus

⁴Research Scholar, Department of Electrical Engineering, Jamia Millia Islamia University, New Delhi, India

ABSTRACT

Article Info

Volume 8, Issue 2 Page Number : 44-51

Publication Issue :

March-April-2022

Article History

Accepted: 05 March 2022 Published: 15 March 2022

The Routing is the process of transmitting data packets from one node to another another node from source to destination The Mobile Adhoc Networks (MANETs) doesn't have any fixed network structure or any centralized process to administer. The selection of the route is important for performance of packet transfer from source to destination. These routing protocol are classified as inter domain routing and Intra domain routing protocol. The routing protocols have been classified into Non Reactive, Reactive and Hybrid protocols. In the present paper we proposes to compare reactive routing protocols videlicet Ad hoc On Demand Distance Vector (AODV), Dynamic Source Routing (DSR) protocols, Temporarily Ordered Routing Algorithm (TORA) and Associativity Grounded Routing (ABR) protocol. The Routing in MANETs is a one of the robustly and demanding task and has entered a great quantum of mindfulness from experimenters around the globe. To overcome this problem, a colorful number of routing classes have been introduced and the number is still rising day by day fastly. It's relatively hard to decide which protocols or routing classes may do well under an quantum of different network scripts similar as network volume and network topology etc. The study and performance of the above mentioned protocol has also been tabulated in the present two tables . The protocols are based on minimum power and temporary configuration of topology. The table 1 gives comparison of the main characteristics of routing protocols and Table2. provide comparison of various reactive routing protocol. Path Cost, Metric, MANET, Reactive, Table driven, Topology, Keywords: Hybrid

I. INTRODUCTION

Mobile ad hoc network is an independent system of mobile bumps attached by wireless links; each knot operates as an end system as well as a router for all other bumps in the network. Bumps can freely and stoutly tone- organize and co-operate into arbitrary and temporary network topologies, permitting people

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Technoscience Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited



and bias to communicate without any pre-existing communication armature. MANET may be classified on base of minimal power routing algorithm or adding the network continuance (1). The power aware routing protocol consumes the minimum power and have a long battery life time . but many nodes need the more power because of frequent routing needed.

The protocols is grounded on minimal- power routing algorithms chooses a path which minimizes the total energy consumption from source to destination.

On its negative, a protocol grounded on adding the network life time attempts to distribute the forwarding cargo over multiple paths. This is fulfilled by reducing a set of bumps demanded for the forwarding duties and allowing subset of bumps to sleep over different ages of time. In this way, they balance the business inside the MANET and increase the overall useful life of the network. Hence to enhance MANET's performance, numerous reactive routing protocols have been put forward for consideration.

Some of these protocols are being described in this paper with a relative study. Hence this paper gives a detailed quantum of distinctive reactive routing protocols like AODV (2), TORA (3) and ABR (4) for MANET along with visionary routing protocol DSDV (5).

TheARPANETPacketRadioNetwork (PRN) (1) is the earliest deployment of a regional-wide wireless data network. It has been since the 1970s and has proven to be doableandpractical.InaPRN, allcomponents (repeaters, terminals and stations) can be mobile or certain components can remain fixed while others are moving. There are principally two approaches to routing and packet forwarding in PRNs and since these approaches are used then as the basis of reference for ad-hoc mobile routing, they're briefly described below. Routing in PRNsIn `` point-to- point" routing, the station computes all the routing information and the decision is either distributed to the repeaters involved in the route or to the source packet radio (PR). This scheme was planned to be suitable for laggardly moving stonerterminals.However, in " broadcast routing", each packet radiates down from the source PR with a surge- front-suchlike propagation. Since no station needs to be present to cipher routes, the destination address serves to identify the intended philanthropist. For presto moving user terminals, broadcast routing was planned to be useful as it avoided the need to process rapidly changing routes.

Packet forwarding in PRNs The connectionless approach to packet forwarding requires some background operation to maintain up-to-date network topology and link information in each node.This Means That As topology changes, the background routing business can be substantial.

This is oriented approach ,an explicit route establishment phase is required before data traffic can be transported. This Approach Is generally associated with point-to- point routing, where each knot in a route has a lookup table for encouraging incoming packets to the separate eschewal- going links. Hence, if the topology changes, a router establishment phase is demanded. A detailed contrast between these approaches is plant in (2) and (5)

II. CATEGORIES OF PROTOCOLS

The protocols may be divided into two types, Visionary and Reactive. Other group of MANET routing protocols which is a combination of both visionary and reactive is appertained as Mongrel.

Visionary routing protocols In this protocol, each and every knot is in hunt for routing information amidst a network. One or further tables are maintained by each knot which represents analysis situs of network. As and when a route is needed, it's preliminarily known due to regular updating process of these tables,



which takes place at regular intervals. Still, path is formerly known and therefore time leg to deliver and transfer information between each knot is low, If any knot wants to shoot information to others.

Reactive Routing protocols In this protocol, a (a) knot initiates a route discovery process throughout the network, only when it wants to shoot packets to its destination. This process is completed once a route is determined or all possible permutations have been studied. Once a path has been established, it's maintained by a route conservation process until either the destination becomes inapproachable along every path from the source or the route is no longer asked. In this process routing information is collected only when it's demanded, and route determination depends on transferring route queries throughout the network. That's whenever there's a need of a path from any source to destination also a type of query reply dialog does the work. Thus, the quiescence is high; still, no gratuitous control dispatches are needed. (b) Mongrel protocols These protocols incorporate the graces of visionary as well as reactive routing protocols. Bumps are grouped into zones grounded on their geographical locales or distances from each other. Inside a single zone, routing is done using table- driven mechanisms while an on- demand routing is applied for routing beyond the zone boundaries. The routing table size and update packet size are reduced by including in them only art of the network therefore, control outflow is reduced.

REACTIVE PROTOCOLS

In reactive protocols a route hunt is demanded for every unknown destination. Thus, theoretically the communication outflow is reduced at expenditure of detention due to route hunt. Some reactive protocols are Ad hoc On- Demand Distance Vector (AODV), Dynamic Source Routing (DSR) (6), Temporally Ordered Routing Algorithm (TORA), Associativity-Grounded Routing (ABR).

(a) Dynamic Source Routing (DSR) The Dynamic Source Routing (DSR) is one of the purest exemplifications of an on_demand routing protocol that's grounded on the conception of source routing. It's designed particularly for use in multihop ad hoc networks of mobile bumps. It allows the network to be completely tone organizing and self_configuring and doesn't need any being network structure or administration. DSR is composed of the two mechanisms of Route Discovery and Route Conservation, which work together to permit bumps to discover and maintain source routes to arbitrary destinations in the network. DSR has a unique benefit by virtue of source routing. As the route is section of the packet itself, routing circles, either short - lived or long - lived, can not be formed as they can be incontinently detected and removed. This property opens up the protocol to a variety of useful optimizations.

(b) Ad hoc On- demand Distance Vector Routing (AODV) AODV belongs to the class of Distance Vector Routing Protocols (DV). In a DV every knot knows its neighbours and the costs to reach them. Ad hoc On Demand Distance Vector (AODV) is a reactive routing protocol which initiates a route discovery process only when it has data packets to transmit and it doesn't have any route path towards the destination knot, that is, route discovery in AODV is called as on- demand. AODV is composed of three mechanisms Route Discovery process, Route communication generation and Route conservation. The significant point of AODV is whenever a route is available from source to destination; it doesn't add any outflow to the packets. Though, route discovery process is only initiated when routes aren't used and/ or they expired and accordingly discarded. This strategy decreases the goods of banal routes as well as the need for route conservation for unused routes. Another characteristic point of AODV is the capability to offer unicast, multicast and broadcast communication. AODV uses a broadcast route



discovery algorithm and also the unicast route reply communication

Associativity- Grounded Routing (ABR) ABR is a source initiated on- demand routing protocol. It's free from circles, impasse and packet duplicates. It only maintain routes for sources that actually ask routes. Still, ABR doesn't employ routere-construction grounded on alternate route information stored in intermediate bumps (thereby avoiding banal routes). In addition, routing opinions are executed at the destination and only the stylish route will be named and used while all other possible routes remain unresistant. Its distinct point is the use of associativity ticks which is needed to only form routes grounded on the strength of bumps, under the fact that there's no use to form a route using a knot which will be moving out of the topology and therefore making the route to be broken. ABR has three modes of operation videlicet route discovery phase, route reconstruction phase and route omission.

Temporally Ordered Routing Algorithm (TORA) The Temporally Ordered Routing Algorithm (TORA) is a largely adaptive, well systematized and scalable distributed routing algorithm grounded on the conception of link reversal. TORA is proposed for largely active mobile,multi-hop wireless networks. It's a source- initiated on- demand routing protocol. It has a unique point of maintaining multiple routes to the destination so that topological changes don't bear any response at all. The protocol responds only when all routes to the destination are lost. In the experience of network partitions the protocol is suitable to descry the partition and abolish all invalid routes. The protocol has three introductory purposes Route creation, Route conservation and Route junking.

There live numerous on- demand routing protocols for mobile Ad hoc networks (MANETS). Utmost of the protocols, however, discover a single route and fail to use multiple alternate paths. Multipath routing permits the establishment of multiple paths between a single source and single destination knot and in the event the path breaks, an alternate path is used rather of initiating a new route discovery. Hence multipath routing stands a promising routing system for wireless mobile Ad hoc networks. Multipath routing protocols get lower routing outflow, lower end-to- end detention, more flexible to route failures and palliate traffic in comparison with single path routing protocols.

Routing Metrics

We're substantially interested in defining the network parameters that affect the network continuance, which will be our main . performance metric. An intuitive way to elect an applicable set of criteria for energy-effective routing is to first probe the causes of energy reduction. The residual energy in mobile bumps is being depleted in two ways (6)

1) Packet Transmission : each transmission causes energy consumption at the mobile node

2) Overhearing from the neighbor nodes: : Eavesdropping from the neighbor bumps Due to the broadcast nature of the wireless channel, all the bumps in the neighborhood of a sender knot may eavesdrop its packets transmission, indeed if they are . not the receivers. Event of these packets results to gratuitous expenditure of battery energy of the donors.

The proposed routing scheme takes into account three routing criteria to estimate the path cost and make the routing decision

a) MAC line application This parameter indicates network traffic. When a mobile knot has to transmit a lot of packets also this will lead to a significant energy consumption. Therefore, larger weight should be assigned to bumps with high MAC line application.

b) Residual energy This parameter is pivotal in order to determine the coming- hop knot. The business should be directed to bumps that have enough residual energy to transmit. Hence, we should assign



a large weight to bumps that have small residual energy to do forwarding.

c) Knot Degree The degree of a knot is the number of bumps that belong to its one- hop neighborhood. As we mentioned ahead, one reason for energy reduction is eavesdropping. We'll try to avoid encouraging packets through bumps with high degree, because this will cause lesser overall energy reduction. In addition, lower degree bumps also reduce the size of the hindrance graph, so smaller collisions will be during our packet transmissions.

In this paper we've handed descriptions of several routing scheme proposed for mobile ad hoc networks. We've handed a bracket of these schemes according the routing strategy i.e. table driven and on demand and presented a comparisons of these orders of routing protocols. Reactive protocols were introduced. The introductory conduct related to the routing process were studied in details. Also the advantages and disadvantages of the protocols grounded on their routing processes were given in the end.

Comparison of on- demand routing protocols with one of the effective table driven routing protocol DSDV has also been made to illustrate that ondemand protocols work better than table driven protocols. Functioning of all protocols was carried out under identical business cargo and mobility patterns condition. The overall study of routing protocols has been epitomized in the table 1 and table2.

Routing Class	Reactive	Proactive		
available of Route	Determine when reached	Always available		
storage requirements	Depends on the number of routes kept for need. usually lower than proactive protocol	High		
control traffic volume	lower than proactive routing protocol	usually high		
Delay level	Higher than proactive	small since routes are known		
scalability problem	source routing protocols upto few hundred nodes . point to point may scale higher	usually upto 100 nodes		
Mobility Handling effects	Usually updates ABR	Occur at fixed intervals		
Security support	No	No		
Quality of Service Support	Few can support QoS: Most support Shortest path	shortest path for QoS matric		

Table 1 The comparison of the main characteristics of routing protocols

Protoc	Route	Route	Route	Stored	Update	Update	Update	Multip	Unidir
ol	Selection	Maintena	Reconfigura	Information	Informati	destinati	period	le	ec
		nce	tion		on	on	_	routes	tional
									links
ABR	Stability	Route	Delete	Next hop	Route	Source	periodic	No	No
	of	table	routes and		Error		ally		
	wireless		inform		packet				
	link nd		source						
	may be								
	longer								
	than								
	shortest								
	one								
AOD	Newest	Route	Delete	Next hop for	Route	Source	Event	Yes	No
V	and	table	route,	desired	Error		driven		
	shortest		Inform	destination	packet				
	path		source						
DSR	Newest	Route	Intermediat	Route cache	Route	Source	Event	Yes	Yes
	with	table	e nodes	for desired	Error		driven		
	intermedi		with route	destination	packet				
	ate nodes		cache,						
			inform						
			source						
TORA	Newest	Route	Delete	Next hop	Route	Neighbo	Event		Yes
	and	table	route,		Error	urs	driven	Yes	
	available		Inform		packet				
	path		source						
ZRP	Zone	Route	different	Next zone	Route	neighbo	event	yes	yes
	Routing	Table	zone node	hop	latency	r zone	driven		
	Protocol	Neighbour			reduce		table		

 Table 2. COMPARISON OF VARIOUS REACTIVE PROTOCOL

III.CONCLUSION

A large number of different kinds of routing protocols are rehearsed in mobile Ad hoc networks. The exercising of a specific routing protocol in mobile Ad hoc network depends upon number factors including size of the network, cargo, mobility conditions, routing above and end-to- end detention. In current times on- demand routing protocols have attained further attention in mobile Ad hoc networks as compared to other routing schemes due to their implicit inflexibility in deployment and effectiveness in terms outturn. They're suitable to organize themselves stoutly with lower memory outflow and lower bandwidth demand than table driven protocols.

IV. FUTURE WORK

Ad hoc networking is a boiling conception in particular dispatches worldwide exploration is going on in this area and numerous issues still have to be addressed. We concentrated on generalities like unipath and multipath routing protocols with respect to their performance in the mobile Ad hoc network.



Multipath routing is a step towards getting a network with better Quality of Service. Though there are numerous further issues related to routing that could be subordinated to farther exploration studies. The present exploration work can be extended to design and develop new routing protocols to meet the following fresh desirable features. Robust Script-A routing protocol should work with robust scripts where mobility is high, bumps are thick, area is large and the quantum of business is more. Probabilistic Route Conservation-A fresh exploration in the field like probabilistic route conservation is needed to identify the probability of route failure before the circumstances of route failures. Quality of service (QoS)-Ad hoc routing protocols must meet the asked conditions of QoS to achieve lower end-to- end detention, high outturn bettered delivery rate, reduced routing outflow and further energy effectiveness. Security- A vital issue that has to be addressed is the security in Ad hoc networks. Operations like Military and Nonpublic Meetings bear high degree of security against adversaries and active/ unresistant wiretapping bushwhackers. A new protocol must have authentication heads and necessary crucial operation to distribute keys to the members of Ad hoc networks. Routing Outflow -Routing dispatches will use utmost of the precious bandwidth of Ad hoc networks; a new protocol has to be cooked to reduce the routing outflow still further compared to AOMDV. Energy Aware Routing - Since mobile bumps are working on small movable batteries in utmost of the operations, developing an energy apprehensive routing protocol, which maximizes the life of batteries, is of consummate significance.

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to submit their gratefulness to Deanship of Scientific Research, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia for providing administrative, financial and technical support under Grant Number G.R.P 90/1443.

V. REFERENCES

- [1]. Mobile ad hoc networks (MANET). http://www.ietf.org/html.charters/manetcharter.html, 1997. IETF Working Group Charter.
- [2]. C. E. Perkins and E. M. Royer, "Ad Hoc Ondemand Distance Vector Routing," In Proceedings of the 2nd IEEE Workshop on Mobile Computing Systems and Applications, New Orleans, LA, February 1999, pp. 90-100.
- [3]. Park V. and S. Corson, 2001. Temporary-ordered Routing Algorithm (TORA). Internet Draft, draft-ietf-manettoraspec-04.txt. 16 C. Perkins, Ad hoc On demand Distance Vector (AODV) routing.
- [4]. CHAI-KEONG TOH, Associativity-Based Routing for Ad-Hoc Mobile Networks, Wireless Personal Communications 4: pp.103–139, 1997.
- [5]. IETF Internet draft (1997), http://www.ietf.org/ internet-drafts/draft C. E. Perkins and P. Bhagwat, "Highly Dynamic Destination Sequenced Distance-Vector Routing (DSDV) for Mobile Computers," SIGCOMM, London, UK, August 1994, pp. 234-244.
- [6]. https://tools.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4728.txt, D. Johnson,Y. Hu, D. Maltz, he Dynamic Source Routing Protocol (DSR).
- [7]. P. Agrawal et al., "A testbed for mobile networked computing", in Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC'95), June 1995, pp. 410–416.
- [8]. P. Agrawal, P.P. Mishra and M.B. Srivastava, "Network architecture for mobile and wireless ATM", in Proceedings of 16th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS '96), May 1996
- [9]. Ramy Eltarras, Mohamed Eltoweissy, Associative routing for wireless sensor networks, Computer Communications, Volume 34, Issue 18, 2011, Pages 2162-2173, ISSN 0140-3664, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2011.01.010.



(https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pi i/S0140366411000326)

[10].@inproceedings{Kishor2013PerformanceAA,

title={Performance Analysis and Challenges Wireless MANET Routing Protocols}, author={M Kishor}, year={2013}

Authors

Dr. Shamimul Qamar, is a Professor & an eminent scholar in the field of Computer Science & Engg.. He had done his B.Tech from MMMUT Gorakhpur, M.Tech from AMU, Aligarh and earned his Ph.D in Computer Science & Engg. degree from IIT Roorkee with highly honorable grade. He was selected as a full professor & Head in UPTU Lucknow on 01-12-2006. Prof. Qamar has a wide teaching experience in various Engineering colleges. He has research Computer network & Multimedia, interests in Networking & Security, Artificial Intelligence. He has published several research papers in reputed national/international Journals and conference. He served as Consultant in Jackson state university, USA. He is a reviewer of IEEE/Elsvier/MDPI/EUROSIP/ IJCSIS, USA. He has written some text books and chapters in the field of Electronics & Computer Engineering. He is also a technical programme committee member in various international conference. He is a life time member of international association of Engineers and a life member of Indian Society of technical educational. His technical depth and interest resulted in setting up a research lab according to latest technical innovations. Along with this, he has actively participated in various technical courses workshops, seminar etc. at the IITS.

Dr. MOHAMMED INAMUR RAHMAN, is an eminent scholar in the field of Computer Science & Engg.. He had done his B.C.A. from SRT University, Nanded, M.Sc. from SRT University, Nanded, and earned his Ph.D in Computer Science & Engg. with highly honorable grade. He was selected as a Head in RG College of Science and Management SRTMUN on 14-07-2006. DR. MOHAMMED INAMUR RAHMAN has a wide teaching experience in various Computer Science and Engineering colleges. He has research Computer Science & Multimedia, interests in Artificial Neural Network, Networking & Security, Artificial Intelligence . He has published several research papers in reputed national/international Journals and conference. He served as a PROCTOR UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO, 709 S DEAKIN ST, MOSCOW, ID 83844. He is also member in IEEE since 2011. His technical depth and interest resulted in setting up a research lab according to latest technical innovations. Along with this, he has actively participated in various technical courses workshops, seminar etc.

ABDULILAH G.F SAIF is an eminent scholar in the field of Computer Science & Engg.. He had done his B.Sc. M.Sc. and earned his Ph.D in Computer Science & Engg from Egypt.

ABDUL AZEEM is an eminent scholar in the field of Electrical & Computer Engg.. He had done his B.Tech from HNB Gharwal central University and . M.Tech. in Electrical Engg and pursuing his his Ph.D in Electrical Engg with specialization and applications of Artificial Intelligence.

Cite this article as :

Shamimul Qamar, Mohammed Inamur Rahman, Abdulilah G.F Saif, Abdul Azeem, "A Comparative Study of Routing Protocols for Minimum Path Cost Matric in MANETS ", International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology (IJSRCSEIT), ISSN : 2456-3307, Volume 8 Issue 2, pp. 44-51, March-April 2022. Available at

doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/CSEIT22829 Journal URL : https://ijsrcseit.com/CSEIT22829

