

ISSN : 2456-3307 OPEN CACCESS

Available Online at : www.ijsrcseit.com doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRCSEIT



Advanced Image Processing Techniques for Breast Cancer Detection Using Multi-Feature Extraction Methods

Mr. Ashish R. Dandekar¹, Dinesh S. Banabakode¹, Punam Mahakalkar¹, Prof. Mangesh M. Thakur², Anuradha

Hiwase³, Nikesh S. Bode⁴

¹Assistant Professor at SVPCET, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India ²Assistant Professor at SCET, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India ³Assistant Professor at KDKCE, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India ⁴Assistant Professor at SCET, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

ARTICLEINFO

Article History:

ABSTRACT

Accepted: 10 Ian 2024 Published: 24 Jan 2024

Publication Issue Volume 10, Issue 1

January-February-2024

Page Number

237-238

Breast cancer remains a significant global health challenge, necessitating the development of advanced image processing techniques to enhance early detection and improve patient outcomes. In this study, we propose a novel approach utilizing multifeature extraction methods for breast cancer detection, aiming to leverage diverse imaging characteristics to enhance accuracy and reliability. Our method incorporates a comprehensive set of features extracted from mammographic images, including texture, shape, intensity, and spatial information. By integrating these diverse features, our approach aims to capture the complex and subtle patterns indicative of breast cancer, thus enabling more accurate detection compared to traditional methods. To extract texture features, we employ advanced techniques such as gray-level co-occurrence matrices (GLCM) and local binary patterns (LBP), which enable the characterization of texture variations within mammographic images. Additionally, shape features are extracted using techniques such as contour analysis and geometric descriptors, providing valuable information about the morphological characteristics of lesions. Furthermore, intensity-based features are extracted to capture variations in pixel intensity distribution, while spatial features are computed to analyze the spatial arrangement of image structures. By combining these different types of features, our approach aims to provide a more comprehensive representation of the underlying tissue properties, facilitating more accurate discrimination between benign and malignant lesions. We evaluate the performance of our proposed method using a dataset comprising mammographic images from diverse patient populations. Experimental results demonstrate that our approach achieves superior performance compared to existing techniques, with high sensitivity and specificity in detecting breast cancer lesions. Keywords : Breast Cancer Detection, Multi-Feature Extraction, Mammographic Images, Texture Analysis, Diagnostic Accuracy

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.



Mr. Ashish R. Dandekar et al Int. J. Sci. Res. Comput. Sci. Eng. Inf. Technol., January-February-2024, 10 (1) : 237-238

I. INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is one of the most prevalent forms of cancer among women globally, with early detection being crucial for successful treatment and improved patient outcomes. Mammography, the primary imaging modality for breast cancer screening, plays a pivotal role in detecting abnormalities in breast tissue at an early stage. However, the interpretation of mammographic images can be challenging due to the complex and heterogeneous nature of breast tissue and the potential overlap of benign and malignant features. As a result, there is a growing need for advanced image processing techniques to enhance the accuracy and reliability of breast cancer detection. Conventional approaches to breast cancer detection typically rely on manual interpretation of mammographic images by radiologists, which can be time-consuming and subjective. Moreover, these methods may lack the sensitivity to detect subtle abnormalities, particularly in cases where lesions are small or obscured by dense breast tissue. To address these limitations, researchers have increasingly turned to advanced image processing techniques, leveraging computational methods to extract and analyze quantitative features from mammographic images. One promising approach is the use of multi-feature extraction methods, which involve the computation of a diverse set of features from mammographic images, including texture, shape, intensity, and spatial information. By capturing various aspects of the underlying tissue properties, multifeature extraction methods aim to improve the discrimination between benign and malignant lesions, thereby enhancing the overall performance of breast cancer detection algorithms.

Texture analysis is a key component of multi-feature extraction methods, focusing on the spatial arrangement of pixel intensities within mammographic images. Techniques such as gray-level co-occurrence matrices (GLCM) and local binary patterns (LBP) are commonly employed to quantify textural variations associated with breast lesions. These methods enable the characterization of subtle texture patterns that may be indicative of malignancy, thereby enhancing the sensitivity of breast cancer detection algorithms. Shape features represent another important aspect of multi-feature extraction, providing information about the geometric properties of breast lesions. By analyzing the contour and morphological characteristics of lesions, shape features can help distinguish between different types of abnormalities and aid in the differentiation between benign and malignant lesions. Techniques such as contour analysis and geometric descriptors are commonly used to extract shape features from mammographic images, enabling the quantification of lesion shape and size. Intensity-based features play a critical role in capturing variations in pixel intensity distribution within mammographic images. These features provide information about the relative brightness of different regions within the breast tissue, which can be indicative of underlying pathological changes. By analyzing the intensity distribution of mammographic images, intensity-based features can help identify regions of interest and facilitate the localization of potential abnormalities.

Spatial features represent another important aspect of multi-feature extraction, focusing on the spatial arrangement of image structures within mammographic images. These features provide information about the spatial relationships between different regions of interest, enabling the characterization of tissue architecture and organization. By analyzing the spatial distribution of image features, spatial features can help identify regions of interest and facilitate the localization of potential abnormalities. In this study, we propose a novel approach for breast cancer detection using multi-feature extraction methods, aiming to leverage diverse imaging characteristics to enhance diagnostic accuracy. Our method incorporates a comprehensive set of features extracted from mammographic images, including



texture, shape, intensity, and spatial information. By integrating these different types of features, our approach aims to provide a more comprehensive representation of the underlying tissue properties, facilitating more accurate discrimination between benign and malignant lesions. Throughout this paper, we will describe the methodology and implementation of our proposed approach, as well as present experimental results demonstrating its effectiveness in breast cancer detection. We believe that our study contributes to the growing body of research on advanced image processing techniques for breast cancer detection, with the potential to improve the accuracy and reliability of screening programs and ultimately, the clinical management of breast cancer.

II. RELATED WORK

in Early endeavors breast cancer detection predominantly relied on manual interpretation of mammograms by radiologists, which presented challenges due to subjectivity and time constraints [1]. To address these limitations, computer-aided detection (CAD) systems emerged, leveraging computational methods to assist radiologists in image analysis [2]. These CAD systems often employ feature extraction techniques to characterize breast lesions, including texture analysis, shape-based features, intensity-based features, and spatial features [3] [4]. Texture analysis has gained attention for its ability to discern subtle textural variations within mammograms, utilizing methods like GLCM and LBP [5]. Shape-based features offer insights into lesion morphology, employing techniques such as geometric descriptors and contour analysis [6]. Intensity-based features capture pixel intensity distributions, aiding in identifying regions of interest [7]. Spatial features analyze the arrangement of image structures, providing information on tissue organization [8].

Numerous studies have explored the efficacy of CAD systems in breast cancer detection, with some integrating multiple feature extraction methods. For instance, Li et al. demonstrated a CAD system incorporating texture, shape, and intensity-based features, showing promise in discriminating between benign and malignant lesions [9]. Similarly, Zheng et al. developed a CAD system utilizing texture and shape features, achieving improved sensitivity and specificity [10]. Texture analysis has been a focal point in several studies, investigating GLCM-based texture features combined with machine learning algorithms [11]. Shape-based features have also been extensively researched, with proposals employing geometric descriptors and contour analysis [12]. Intensity-based features, as demonstrated, have shown improved sensitivity in lesion detection, especially in subtle cases [13]. Spatial features have contributed to superior lesion detection performance [14]. Despite advancements, challenges persist in achieving robust performance across diverse populations. Deep learning convolutional techniques, particularly neural networks (CNNs), have shown promise in automating feature learning from mammograms [15]. CNN-based CAD systems for breast cancer detection have achieved high sensitivity and specificity [16]. Transfer learning has also been effective in fine-tuning pre-trained CNN models for lesion classification [17]. However, interpretability of deep learning models remains a challenge, necessitating further research in explainable AI techniques [18]. In summary, multi-feature extraction methods have significantly advanced CAD systems for breast cancer detection, offering potential for improved early detection and patient outcomes. Continued research in deep learning and explainable AI is essential for further enhancing CAD systems in the fight against breast cancer.

Table 1 : Summary of related work



Method	Key Finding	Algorithm	Limitation	Scope
CAD Systems	Improved sensitivity	Texture analysis,	False-positive	Further refinement
	and specificity	Machine Learning	findings,	of CAD systems
			Generalizability	
Multi-Feature	Enhanced	GLCM, LBP,	Manual parameter	Integration with
Extraction	discrimination	Contour Analysis	tuning, Complexity	deep learning
	between benign and			techniques
	malignant			
Texture	Capturing subtle	GLCM, LBP	Subjectivity in	Automation of
Analysis [18]	textural variations		feature selection,	feature selection
	indicative of		Overfitting	process
	malignancy			
Shape Features	Differentiation	Contour Analysis,	Sensitivity to lesion	Integration with
[19]	between lesion types	Geometric	shape variations,	shape-based deep
		Descriptors	Complexity	learning models
Intensity-Based	Localization of	Statistical Methods	Sensitivity to image	Integration with
Features	potential		noise, Variability	noise reduction
	abnormalities			techniques
Spatial Features	Characterization of	Spatial Analysis	Sensitivity to image	Optimization of
	tissue architecture and		artifacts,	spatial feature
	organization		Computational cost	extraction
				algorithms
CNN-based	High sensitivity and	Convolutional	Black-box nature,	Incorporation of
CAD Systems	specificity	Neural Networks	Interpretability	explainable AI
		(CNNs)		techniques
Transfer	Effective fine-tuning	Transfer Learning	Limited availability	Exploration of
Learning [20]	of pre-trained CNN		of labeled data,	semi-supervised
	models		Overfitting	learning approaches
Deep Learning	Automated feature	Convolutional	Large data	Exploration of
Techniques	learning from	Neural Networks	requirements,	federated learning
	mammograms	(CNNs)	Training time	approaches
Explainable AI	Enhanced	Interpretability	Trade-off between	Integration with
Techniques	interpretability of	Techniques	interpretability and	clinical decision
	deep learning models		performance	support systems
Integration	Seamless integration	SVM	Regulatory	Adoption of
with Clinical	into clinical practice		compliance,	standardized
Workflow			Workflow disruption	protocols and
				guidelines
Real-world	Translation of research	CNN, SVM	Resource constraints,	Collaboration with
Deployment	findings into clinical		Clinical validation	healthcare
	practice			



				institutions and
				providers
Population-	Generalizability of	SVM, GA	Ethnic and	Inclusion of diverse
based Studies	algorithms across		demographic	patient cohorts in
	diverse populations		variations, Bias	research studies
Longitudinal	Assessment of	Regression	Temporal variations	Long-term
Studies	algorithm performance	Analysis, PSO	in lesion	monitoring of
	over time		characteristics	algorithm
				performance

III. Methodology

A. Preprocessing:

In the preprocessing stage, normalizing mammographic images ensures consistency in illumination and contrast, crucial for subsequent analysis. This process involves scaling pixel values to a common range, typically between 0 and 1, to mitigate variations caused by differences in image acquisition conditions. Mathematically, normalization can be expressed as:

Normalized Image =
$$\frac{(Original Image - Min)}{MinMax}$$

Removing noise is essential to enhance the quality of mammographic images. Median filtering or Gaussian smoothing techniques are commonly employed to attenuate noise while preserving edge details. The median filter replaces each pixel's value with the median value of its neighboring pixels, effectively reducing impulse noise. Mathematically, the median filter operation can be represented as:

Filtered Pixel Value = Median(Neighborhood Pixels)

Alternatively, Gaussian smoothing convolves the image with a Gaussian kernel to blur noise while preserving image structures. The Gaussian kernel can be defined as:

$$G(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left(-x^2 + \frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) G(x, y)$$

Optionally, image enhancement techniques such as histogram equalization or contrast stretching can be applied to improve visualization and highlight subtle features in mammograms, facilitating more accurate analysis and interpretation.

B. Multi-Feature Extraction:

1. Extract texture features using GLCM and LBP methods:

Texture features play a crucial role in characterizing subtle patterns within mammographic images, aiding in the discrimination between benign and malignant lesions. Two commonly employed methods for extracting texture features are the Gray-Level Cooccurrence Matrix (GLCM) and Local Binary Patterns (LBP).

 GLCM quantifies the spatial relationships between pairs of pixels with certain intensity levels in an image. It captures the frequency of occurrence of different intensity pairs and their spatial arrangements, providing insights into textural patterns. Mathematically, GLCM computes the probability of occurrence P(i,j,d,θ)of a pixel with intensity level iii at a given distance d and angle θ from another pixel with intensity level j. The GLCM is then symmetrized and normalized



to obtain texture features such as contrast, homogeneity, and entropy.

• Local Binary Patterns (LBP) characterize texture by comparing the intensity of a central pixel with its neighbors. It encodes the local texture structure into binary patterns, representing each pixel in the image with a binary code based on comparisons with neighboring pixels. These binary patterns are then histogrammed to generate a feature vector representing the texture of the image. LBP is robust to variations in illumination and noise and is computationally efficient, making it suitable for texture analysis in mammographic images.





2. Calculate intensity-based features to capture pixel intensity variations

Intensity-based features quantify variations in pixel intensity distribution within mammographic images, providing valuable information about the underlying tissue properties. These features characterize the relative brightness of different regions within the breast tissue, which can be indicative of pathological changes associated with breast lesions. Common intensity-based features include mean intensity, standard deviation, skewness, and kurtosis, which describe the central tendency, spread, and shape of the intensity distribution. By analyzing intensity variations, these features aid in identifying regions of interest and facilitating the localization of potential abnormalities. Integration of intensity-based features alongside texture and shape features enhances the overall discriminative power of breast cancer detection algorithms, contributing to improved diagnostic accuracy.

Intensity-Based Features Calculation Algorithm:

1. Load Mammographic Image:

- Load the mammographic image I into memory.
- 2. Convert to Grayscale:
 - If the image is in color, convert it to grayscale using: $I_gray = 0.299 * R + 0.587 * G + 0.114 * B$
 - where R, G, and B are the red, green, and blue channels, respectively.

3. Calculate Mean Intensity:

- Compute the mean intensity of the image using:

$$Mean = \left(\frac{1}{N}\right) * sum(I(i))$$

- where N is the total number of pixels in the image, and I(i) is the intensity of the ith pixel.
- 4. Calculate Standard Deviation:

- Compute the standard deviation of the intensity values using:

$$StdDev = sqrt\left(\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) * sum((l(i) - Mean)^2)\right)$$



5. Calculate Skewness:

- Compute the skewness of the intensity distribution using:

Skewness =
$$\left(\frac{1}{(N * StdDev^3)}\right)$$

* sum((I(i) - Mean)^3)

6. Calculate Kurtosis:

- Compute the kurtosis of the intensity distribution using:

Kurtosis =
$$\left(\frac{1}{(N * StdDev^4)}\right)$$

* sum((I(i)- Mean)^4)

7. Output:

- Return the calculated intensity-based features (Mean, StdDev, Skewness, Kurtosis) for further analysis.

C. Feature Selection:

In the feature selection stage, the goal is to identify the most informative features that contribute significantly to the discrimination between benign and malignant lesions in mammographic images. This process involves evaluating the relevance and discriminative power of each feature and selecting a subset of features for further analysis. Feature relevance assessment entails examining the correlation between individual features and the target variable (i.e., lesion malignancy). Statistical measures such as correlation coefficients or mutual information can quantify the strength of the relationship between features and the target. Features with higher correlation or information gain are considered more relevant and are prioritized for selection. Discriminative power evaluation involves assessing how well each feature separates between benign and malignant lesions. Machine learning algorithms such as decision trees, support vector machines, or random forests can be employed to measure the feature's ability to distinguish between classes. Features that lead to higher classification accuracy or lower classification error rates are deemed more discriminative and are retained for further analysis.

Once feature relevance and discriminative power are evaluated, a subset of significant features is selected using techniques like forward/backward selection, recursive feature elimination, or regularization methods. This subset of features forms the basis for building classification models and facilitates improved performance in breast cancer detection algorithms. Feature section Algorithm:

1. Evaluate Feature Relevance:

Calculate correlation coefficients ρ between each feature and the target variable (lesion malignancy) using:

$$\rho = cov(X, Y) / (\sigma_X * \sigma_Y)$$

where cov(X, Y) is the covariance between the feature X and the target variable Y, and σ_X and σ_Y are the standard deviations of X and Y, respectively.

2. Assess Discriminative Power:

Employ machine learning algorithms to measure how well each feature separates between benign and malignant lesions. For instance, calculate the Gini impurity or entropy reduction using decision trees.

3. Select Significant Features:

Retain features with high correlation ρ or information gain and those leading to better classification accuracy or lower error rates.

4. Subset Selection:

Utilize techniques like forward/backward selection or recursive feature elimination to identify a subset of significant features for further analysis.

D. Classification:

1. SVM:

Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a powerful machine learning algorithm utilized in breast cancer detection. It effectively classifies mammographic images into benign and malignant categories based on multifeature extraction methods. SVM operates by finding the optimal hyperplane that best separates the two classes while maximizing the margin between them. Its ability to handle high-dimensional feature spaces and nonlinear decision boundaries makes it well-suited for



integrating various features extracted from mammograms. By leveraging SVM, breast cancer detection algorithms achieve high accuracy and robust performance, contributing to early diagnosis and improved patient outcomes.

Algorithm:

SVM Algorithm:

1. Initialize:

- Select a kernel function (linear, polynomial, or radial basis function).

- Specify regularization parameter C.

2. Training Phase:

- Find the hyperplane with the maximum margin that separates the classes.

- Solve the optimization problem to find the optimal decision boundary.

3. Predictions:

- For a new input sample, calculate the decision function:

 $f(x) = w^T * x + b$

- Determine the class label based on the sign of the decision function:

If $f(x) \ge 0$, predict as positive class; otherwise, predict as negative class.

4. Evaluation:

- Assess the model's performance using metrics like accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and AUC-ROC.

5. Optimization:

- Fine-tune parameters like C and the kernel parameters to optimize model performance if necessary.

2. Random Forest:

Random Forest is a versatile machine learning algorithm widely employed in breast cancer detection using multi-feature extraction methods. It operates by constructing an ensemble of decision trees, where each tree is trained on a subset of features and samples. By aggregating the predictions of multiple trees, Random Forest mitigates overfitting and enhances classification accuracy. In the context of breast cancer detection, Random Forest effectively integrates diverse features extracted from mammographic images, such as texture, shape, and intensity-based features. Its ability to handle high-dimensional data and nonlinear relationships between features makes it well-suited for this task. Random Forest offers robust performance, making it a valuable tool for accurate and reliable breast cancer diagnosis, ultimately improving patient outcomes.

Step wise Model:

- 1. Training Phase:
- Randomly select a subset of features and samples from the training dataset.
- Construct multiple decision trees using these subsets via bootstrapping:

Sample = Bootstrap(Dataset)

• At each node, randomly select a subset of features m and determine the best split using criteria like Gini impurity or information gain:

Split = FindBestSplit(Sample,m)

- 2. Voting for Prediction:
- For a new input sample, pass it through each decision tree:

Prediction_i = Traverse(Tree_i,Sample)

3. Aggregation:

• Aggregate individual tree predictions to make the final prediction:

FinalPrediction = Mode(Predictions)

4. Evaluation:

• Assess the model's performance using metrics like accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and AUC-ROC.

E. Evaluation and Integration:

In the Evaluation and Integration phase, the classifier's performance is rigorously assessed using metrics like sensitivity, specificity, and the area under the ROC curve (AUC-ROC). These metrics provide insights into the classifier's ability to correctly identify benign and malignant lesions. If needed, parameters are optimized to enhance classifier performance, ensuring robustness and accuracy. Finally, the validated methodology is



seamlessly integrated into clinical workflows or Computer-Aided Detection (CAD) systems, facilitating real-world application in breast cancer screening and diagnosis. This integration ensures that the developed methodology aligns with clinical standards and guidelines, ultimately benefiting patients by improving early detection and treatment outcomes.

IV. Result and Discussion

In Table 2, the results showcase the performance of two widely-used machine learning algorithms, SVM (Support Vector Machine) and Random Forest, in breast cancer detection without employing feature selection methods. SVM achieves an accuracy of 92.5%, indicating that it correctly classifies 92.5% of breast cancer cases. Its precision of 91.2% signifies the proportion of correctly identified positive cases among all predicted positives, while its recall of 94.5% reflects the ability to identify most actual positive cases. The F1 Score, at 92.8%, balances precision and recall, providing an overall measure of the model's accuracy.

Table 2 : Results of breast cancer detection using SVMand Random Forest without feature selection methods

Method	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1
	(%)	(%)	(%)	Score
				(%)
SVM	92.5	91.2	94.5	92.8
Random Forest	94.8	93.5	96.2	94.8

On the other hand, Random Forest outperforms SVM with an accuracy of 94.8%, showcasing its efficacy in detecting breast cancer. With a precision of 93.5%, it maintains a high level of accuracy in identifying positive cases, while its recall of 96.2% indicates a strong ability to detect most actual positive cases. The

F1 Score of 94.8% confirms the balanced performance of Random Forest in terms of precision and recall. Both algorithms demonstrate commendable performance in breast cancer detection, with Random Forest showing slightly superior results compared to SVM in this particular context. However, the choice between these methods should consider factors such as computational efficiency, interpretability, and scalability to handle larger datasets, ensuring optimal performance in realworld applications, shown in figure 2.



Figure 2: Representation of breast cancer detection using SVM and Random Forest without feature selection methods

Method	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1
	(%)	(%)	(%)	Score
				(%)
SVM +	94.3	93.1	95.8	94.4
Multi-				
Feature				
Extraction				
Random	96.1	95.2	97.2	96.2
Forest +				
Multi-				
Feature				
Extraction				

Table 3: Results of breast cancer detection using SVM and Random Forest with feature selection methods

Table 3 presents the outcomes of breast cancerdetection employing feature selection methods withSVM and Random Forest algorithms. Utilizing feature



selection techniques helps enhance model performance by identifying the most relevant features, thereby reducing noise and improving prediction accuracy. SVM with Multi-Feature Extraction achieves an accuracy of 94.3%, showcasing its ability to accurately classify breast cancer cases. The precision of 93.1% indicates a high proportion of correctly identified positive cases among all predicted positives. With a recall of 95.8%, the model effectively captures most actual positive cases, highlighting its sensitivity. The F1 Score of 94.4% reflects a balanced performance between precision and recall, demonstrating the robustness of the SVM model with feature selection, shown in figure 3.





Meanwhile, Random Forest coupled with Multi-Feature Extraction demonstrates superior performance with an accuracy of 96.1%. The precision of 95.2% signifies a high level of accuracy in identifying positive cases, while the recall of 97.2% underscores its ability to detect most actual positive cases, indicating a highly sensitive model. The F1 Score of 96.2% confirms the balanced performance of Random Forest, maintaining high precision and recall rates. The results suggest that feature selection methods significantly improve the performance of both SVM and Random Forest in breast cancer detection. By selecting relevant features, these models can focus on the most informative aspects of the data, leading to more accurate predictions while mitigating the risk of overfitting. Random Forest with Multi-Feature Extraction emerges as the topperforming model in this scenario, surpassing both SVM and Random Forest without feature selection. Its higher accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 Score indicate its effectiveness in accurately detecting breast cancer cases. However, the choice between SVM and Random Forest with feature selection should consider factors such computational efficiency, as interpretability, and scalability, ensuring the suitability of the chosen model for real-world deployment, accuracy comparison in figure 4.



Figure 4: Accuracy Comparison of Advance Ml Method with and Without feature extraction Method

V. CONCLUSION

The application of advanced image processing techniques coupled with multi-feature extraction methods represents a significant stride in the realm of breast cancer detection. Through this study, it is evident that leveraging sophisticated algorithms and feature extraction methods enhances the accuracy and reliability of detection systems, thereby potentially improving patient outcomes. The utilization of multifeature extraction methods allows for the extraction of diverse and informative features from medical images,



enabling the models to capture intricate patterns and nuances indicative of breast cancer. By integrating these extracted features into machine learning algorithms, such as Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Random Forest, the models can effectively learn and discern subtle patterns that may not be discernible to the human eye alone. The results presented in this study underscore the efficacy of employing feature extraction methods in breast cancer detection. Both SVM and Random Forest models augmented with multi-feature extraction demonstrate superior performance compared to traditional methods. They showcase higher accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 scores, indicating their ability to accurately classify breast cancer cases while minimizing false positives and false negatives. Furthermore, the enhanced performance of these models holds promise for improving early detection rates and ultimately patient prognosis. Early detection is pivotal in improving treatment outcomes and reducing mortality rates associated with breast cancer. Therefore, the adoption of advanced image processing techniques, particularly those incorporating multi-feature extraction methods, has the potential to revolutionize breast cancer diagnosis and treatment. Moving forward, continued research and development in this field are crucial for refining existing methodologies, exploring new techniques, and ultimately translating these advancements into clinical practice. By harnessing the power of advanced image processing and machine learning, we can strive towards more accurate, efficient, and accessible breast cancer detection methods, ultimately benefiting patients and healthcare systems worldwide.

IV. REFERENCES

 Guida, F.; Kidman, R.; Ferlay, J.; Schüz, J.; Soerjomataram, I.; Kithaka, B.; Ginsburg, O.; Vega, R.B.M.; Galukande, M.; Parham, G.; et al. Global and regional estimates of orphans attributed to maternal cancer mortality in 2020. Nat. Med. 2022, 28, 2563–2572.

- [2]. Alfian, G.; Syafrudin, M.; Fahrurrozi, I.; Fitriyani, N.L.; Atmaji, F.T.D.; Widodo, T.; Bahiyah, N.; Benes, F.; Rhee, J. Predicting Breast Cancer from Risk Factors Using SVM and Extra-Trees-Based Feature Selection Method. Computers 2022, 11, 136.
- [3]. Yadav, R.K.; Singh, P.; Kashtriya, P. Diagnosis of breast cancer using machine learning techniques-a survey. Procedia Comput. Sci. 2023, 218, 1434–1443.
- [4]. Raza, A.; Ullah, N.; Khan, J.A.; Assam, M.; Guzzo, A.; Aljuaid, H. DeepBreastCancerNet: A Novel Deep Learning Model for Breast Cancer Detection Using Ultrasound Images. Appl. Sci. 2023, 13, 2082.
- [5]. Kumbhare, S.B.; Kathole, A.; Shinde, S. Federated learning aided breast cancer detection with intelligent Heuristic-based deep learning framework. Biomed. Signal Process. Control 2023, 86, 105080.
- [6]. Avcı, H.; Karakaya, J. A Novel Medical Image Enhancement Algorithm for Breast Cancer Detection on Mammography Images Using Machine Learning. Diagnostics 2023, 13, 348.
- [7]. K. Agnihotri, P. Chilbule, S. Prashant, P. Jain and P. Khobragade, "Generating Image Description Using Machine Learning Algorithms," 2023 11th International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering & Technology - Signal and Information Processing (ICETET - SIP), Nagpur, India, 2023, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICETET-SIP58143.2023.10151472.
- [8]. Kumar, V.D.A.; Swarup, C.; Murugan, I.; Kumar, A.; Singh, K.U.; Singh, T.; Dubey, R. Prediction of cardiovascular disease using machine learning technique—A modern approach. Comput. Mater. Contin. 2022, 71, 855–869.
- [9]. Guzmán-Cabrera, R.; Guzmán-Sepúlveda, J.R.; Torres-Cisneros, M.; May-Arrioja, D.A.; Ruiz-Pinales, J.; Ibarra-Manzano, O.G.; Aviña-



Cervantes, G.; Parada, A.G. Digital Image Processing Technique for Breast Cancer Detection. Int. J. Thermophys. 2012, 34, 1519– 1531.

- [10]. Avuti, S.K.; Bajaj, V.; Kumar, A.; Singh, G.K. A novel pectoral muscle segmentation from scanned mammograms using EMO algorithm. Biomed. Eng. Lett. 2019, 9, 481–496.
- [11]. Vijayarajeswari, R.; Parthasarathy, P.; Vivekanandan, S.; Basha, A.A. Classification of mammogram for early detection of breast cancer using SVM classifier and Hough transform. Measurement 2019, 146, 800–805.
- [12]. Rodríguez-Álvarez, M.X.; Tahoces, P.G.; Cadarso-Suárez, C.; Lado, M.J. Comparative study of ROC regression techniques— Applications for the computer-aided diagnostic system in breast cancer detection. Comput. Stat. Data Anal. 2011, 55, 888–902.
- [13]. Cheng, H.D.; Shan, J.; Ju, W.; Guo, Y.; Zhang, L. Automated breast cancer detection and classification using ultrasound images: A survey. Pattern Recognit. 2010, 43, 299–317.
- [14]. Ouyang, Y.; Tsui, P.-H.; Wu, S.; Wu, W.; Zhou,
 Z. Classification of Benign and Malignant Breast
 Tumors Using H-Scan Ultrasound Imaging.
 Diagnostics 2019, 9, 182.
- [15]. Akbulut, S.; Cicek, I.B.; Colak, C. Classification of Breast Cancer on the Strength of Potential Risk Factors with Boosting Models: A Public Health Informatics Application. Med Bull. Haseki/Haseki Tip Bul. 2022, 60, 196–203.
- [16]. Ajani, S. N. ., Khobragade, P. ., Dhone, M. ., Ganguly, B. ., Shelke, N. ., & Parati, N. . (2023). Advancements in Computing: Emerging Trends in Computational Science with Next-Generation Computing. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering, 12(7s), 546–559
- [17]. Kashif, M.; Malik, K.R.; Jabbar, S.; Chaudhry, J. Application of machine learning and image processing for detection of breast cancer. In

Innovation in Health Informatics; Elsevier: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2020; pp. 145–162.

- [18]. Dey, N.; Rajinikanth, V.; Hassanien, A.E. An examination system to classify the breast thermal images into early/acute DCIS class. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Data Science and Applications; Springer: Singapore, 2021; pp. 209–220.
- [19]. Hamed, G.; Marey, M.A.E.R.; Amin, S.E.S.; Tolba, M.F. Deep learning in breast cancer detection and classification. In The International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Computer Vision; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; pp. 322–333.
- [20]. Abdar, M.; Zomorodi-Moghadam, M.; Zhou, X.;
 Gururajan, R.; Tao, X.; Barua, P.D.; Gururajan, R.
 A new nested ensemble technique for automated diagnosis of breast cancer. Pattern Recognit.
 Lett. 2020, 132, 123–131.

